arXiv:math/0507158v2 [math.OA] 21 Mar 2007

OPERATOR THEORY ON NONCOMMUTATIVE VARIETIES

GELU POPESCU

Abstract. We develop a dilation theory for row contractions $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ subject to constraints such as

$$p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is a set of noncommutative polynomials. The model n-tuple is the universal row contraction $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ satisfying the same constraints as T, which turns out to be, in a certain sense, the *maximal constrained piece* of the n-tuple $[S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ of left creation operators on the full Fock space on n generators. The theory is based on a class of *constrained Poisson kernels* associated with T and representations of the C^*-algebra generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ and the identity. Under natural conditions on the constraints we have uniqueness for the minimal dilation.

A characteristic function Θ_T is associated with any (constrained) row contraction T and it is proved that

$$I - \Theta_T \Theta_T^* = K_T K_T^*,$$

where K_T is the (constrained) Poisson kernel of T. Consequently, for *pure constrained* row contractions, we show that the characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant and provide a model. We show that the curvature invariant and Euler characteristic asssociated with a Hilbert module generated by an arbitrary (resp. commuting) row contraction T can be expressed only in terms of the (resp. constrained) characteristic function of T.

We provide a commutant lifting theorem for pure constrained row contractions and obtain a Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation result in our setting.

Introduction

In the last two decades, there has been exciting progress in multivariable dilation theory, in the attempt to extend the classical Nagy-Foiaş theory of contractions [38]. In the noncommutative case, significant results were obtained in [14], [9], [20], [21], [22], [24], and recently in [10]. Some of these results were further extended by Muhly and Solel [17] to representations of tensor algebras over C^*-correspondences.

The present paper develops a dilation theory for row contractions subject to constraints determined by sets of noncommutative polynomials. Our theory includes, in particular, the commutative (see [13], [30], and [4]) and q-commutative (see [1], [7]) cases, while the standard noncommutative dilation theory for row contractions serves as a “universal model”.

An n-tuple $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ of bounded linear operators acting on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called *row contraction* if

$$TT^* = T_1T_1^* + \cdots + T_nT_n^* \leq I.$$

A distinguished role among row contractions is played by the n-tuple $S := [S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ of *left creation operators* on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$, which satisfies the noncommutative von

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A20, 47A56; Secondary: 47A13, 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory, Noncommutative variety, Dilation theory, Row contraction, Constrained shift, Invariant subspace, Wold decomposition, Poisson kernel, Characteristic function, Fock space, Commutant lifting, Interpolation, von Neumann inequality.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

Neumann inequality [25]

$$\|p(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1, \ldots, S_n)\|$$

for any polynomial $p(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ in n noncommuting indeterminates. For the classical von Neumann inequality [39] (case $n = 1$) and a nice survey, we refer to Pisier's book [19]. Based on the left creation operators and their representations, a noncommutative dilation theory and model theory for row contractions was developed in [14], [9], [20], [21], [22], [24], etc. Assume now that T is subject to the constraints

$$T_iT_j = T_jT_i, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

In this commutative case, the noncommutative dilation theory can be applied but, in many respects, it is not satisfactory due to the fact that the model shift $S := [S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ does not satisfy the same constraints as T. However, the universal commutative row contraction is a *piece* of S, namely

$$B := [B_1, \ldots, B_n], \qquad B_i := P_{F_s^2} S_i|F_s^2, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $F_s^2 \subset F^2(H_n)$ is the symmetric Fock space. In this setting, the natural von Neumann inequality (see [13], [30], and [4]) is

$$\|p(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(B_1, \ldots, B_n)\|$$

for any polynomial $p(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ in n commuting indeterminates. A dilation theory for commuting row contractions based on the model shift $B := [B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ and its representations was considered by Drury [13] and the author [30] to a certain extent, and by Arveson [4] in greater details. This circle of ideas was extended to row contractions satisfying the constraints

$$T_jT_i = q_{ij}T_iT_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

where $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$. In this setting, a von Neumann inequality was obtained by Arias and the author in [1]. This was used further by B.V.R. Bhat and T. Bhattacharyya [7] to obtain a model theory for q-commuting row contractions.

In this paper, we develop a dilation theory for row contractions $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ subject to more general constraints such as

$$p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is a set of noncommutative polynomials. If T is a *pure* row contraction, then $\mathcal{P}$ can be any WOT-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞. The model n-tuple is the universal row contraction $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ satisfying the same constraints as T, which turns out to be, in a certain sense, the *maximal constrained piece* of the n-tuple $[S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ of left creation operators on the full Fock space with n generators.

In Section 1, we provide basic results concerning the *constrained shift* $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ and the w^*-closed algebra (resp. C^*-algebra) generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ and the identity. We obtain a Beurling type theorem characterizing the invariant subspaces under each operator $B_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}, \ldots, B_n \otimes I_\mathcal{H}$, and a characterization of cyclic co-invariant subspaces under the same operators. We also provide Wold type decompositions for nondegenerate $*$-representations of the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ and prove that two constrained shifts $[B_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}, \ldots, B_n \otimes I_\mathcal{H}]$ and $[B_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \ldots, B_n \otimes I_\mathcal{K}]$ are similar if and only if $\dim \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{K}$.

In Section 2, we develop a dilation theory for constrained row contractions. The theory is based on a class of *constrained Poisson kernels* (see [30], [1], [35], and [6] for $n = 1$) associated with $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ and representations of the C^*-algebra generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ and the identity. In particular, if the set $\mathcal{P}$ consists of homogenous polynomials, then we show that there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ such that $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$ and

$$T_i^* = V_i^* | \mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\Delta_T := (I - T_1 T_1^* - \cdots - T_n T_n^*)^{1/2}$,

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(B_i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

and $\pi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ is a $*$-representation which annihilates the compact operators and

$$\pi(B_1)\pi(B_1)^* + \cdots + \pi(B_n)\pi(B_n)^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}.$$

Under certain natural conditions on the constraints, we have uniqueness for the minimal dilation of T. We introduce and evaluate the *dilation index*, a numerical invariant for row contractions, and show that it does not depend on the constraints.

In Section 3 and Section 4, we provide new properties for the *standard characteristic function* Θ_T associated with an arbitrary row contraction T (see [22]), and introduce a new characteristic function associated with constrained row contractions. The *constrained characteristic function* is a multi-analytic operator (with respect to the constrained shifts $B_1, \ldots, B_n$)

$$\Theta_{J,T} : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_T$$

uniquely defined by the formal Fourier representation

$$-I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes T + (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \Delta_T) \left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n W_i \otimes T_i^* \right)^{-1} [W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] \, (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \Delta_{T^*})$$

(see Section 4 for notations). We prove a factorization result for the constrained characteristic function, namely,

$$I - \Theta_{J,T} \Theta_{J,T}^* = K_{J,T} K_{J,T}^*,$$

where $K_{J,T}$ is the constrained Poisson kernel associated with T. Consequently, for the class of pure constrained row contractions, we show that the characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant and provide a model. All the results of Section 4 apply, in particular, to commutative row contractions.

In Section 5, we obtain a commutant lifting theorem for pure constrained row contractions and a Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation result in our setting. These results are based on the more general noncommutative commutant lifting theorem (see [21], [24]) and some results from previous sections.

The above-mentioned factorization result for the characteristic function has important consequences in multivariable operator theory. We point out some of them which are considered in Section 3 and Section 4. In [5], Arveson introduced a notion of curvature and Euler characteristic for finite rank contractive Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, the complex unital algebra of all polynomials in n commuting variables. The canonical operators $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ associated with the $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$-module structure are commuting and $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ is a row contraction with $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T < \infty$. Noncommutative analogues of these notions were introduced and studied by the author in [32] and, independently, by D. Kribs [15]. In this paper, we show that the curvature and the Euler characteristic (in both the commutative and noncommutative case) depend only on the properties of the characteristic function of T.

For example, in the commutative case, if $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ is a commutative row contraction with $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T < \infty$, and $K(T)$ and $\chi(T)$ denote Arveson's curvature and Euler characteristic, respectively, then we prove that

$$\begin{aligned}K(T) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}_n} \lim_{r\to 1} \operatorname{trace}\,[I-\Theta_{J_c,T}(r\xi)\Theta_{J_c,T}(r\xi)^*]d\sigma(\xi)\\ &= \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T - (n-1)!\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[\Theta_{J_c,T}\Theta_{J_c,T}^*(Q_m\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})]}{n^m},\end{aligned}$$

where Q_m is the projection of Arveson's space H^2 onto the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree m, and

$$\chi(T) = n!\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{rank}\left[\left(I-\Theta_{J_c,T}\Theta_{J_c,T}^*\right)(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})\right]}{m^n},$$

where $Q_{\leq m}$ is the projection of H^2 onto the subspace of all polynomials of degree $\leq m$. Here, the operator $\Theta_{J_c,T}: H^2\otimes\mathcal{D}_{T^*}\to H^2\otimes\mathcal{D}_T$ stands for the constrained characteristic function associated with T, which, in this particular case, is a multiplier (multiplication operator) defined by its symbol (for which we use the same notation)

$$\Theta_{J_c,T}(z) := -T+\Delta_T(I-z_1T_1^*-\cdots-z_nT_n^*)^{-1}[z_1I_{\mathcal{H}},\ldots,z_nI_{\mathcal{H}}]\Delta_{T^*},\quad z\in\mathbb{B}_n,$$

which is a bounded operator-valued analytic function on the open unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

1. Constrained shifts: invariant subspaces and Wold decompositions

In this section we provide basic results concerning the *constrained shift* $[B_1,\ldots,B_n]$ associated with every WOT-closed two-sided ideal J of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞. We obtain a Beurling type theorem characterizing the invariant subspaces under each operator $B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\ldots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$, and a characterization of cyclic co-invariant subspaces under the same operators. We also provide Wold type decompositions for the nondegenerate $*$-representations of the Toeplitz C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ generated by $B_1,\ldots,B_n$ and the identity. The dilation theory developed in the next sections will be based on the constrained shift $[B_1,\ldots,B_n]$.

Let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$, where $n\in\{1,2,\ldots\}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n. Define the left creation operators $S_i: F^2(H_n)\to F^2(H_n),\ i=1,\ldots,n$, by

$$S_i\varphi := e_i\otimes\varphi,\quad \varphi\in F^2(H_n).$$

The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ and its norm closed version, the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$, were introduced by the author [25], [26], [28] in connection with a multivariable noncommutative von Neumann inequality. F_n^∞ is the algebra of left multipliers of the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ and can be identified with the weakly closed (or w^*-closed) algebra generated by the left creation operators $S_1,\ldots,S_n$ acting on $F^2(H_n)$, and the identity. The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the norm closed algebra generated by $S_1,\ldots,S_n$, and the identity. When $n=1$, F_1^∞ can be identified with $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, the algebra of bounded analytic functions on the open unit disc. The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ can be viewed as a multivariable noncommutative analogue of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. There are many analogies with the invariant subspaces of the unilateral shift on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, inner-outer factorizations, analytic operators, Toeplitz operators, $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$–functional calculus, bounded (resp. spectral) interpolation, etc.

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$, and the identity g_0. The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := k$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$, and $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g_0$. If $T_1, \dots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, define $T_\alpha := T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$, and $T_{g_0} := I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Similarly, we denote $e_\alpha := e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ and $e_{g_0} := 1$.

We need to recall from [22], [23], [25], [26], [27], and [33] a few facts concerning multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces. We say that a bounded linear operator M acting from $F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ to $F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}'$ is multi-analytic if

$$M(S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}) = (S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}'})M \quad \text{for any} \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Notice that M is uniquely determined by the "coefficients" $\theta_{(\alpha)} \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$ given by

$$\left\langle \theta_{(\tilde{\alpha})} k, k' \right\rangle := \left\langle M(1 \otimes k), e_\alpha \otimes k' \right\rangle, \quad k \in \mathcal{K},\ k' \in \mathcal{K}',\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the reverse of α, i.e., $\widetilde{\alpha} = g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_1}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$. We can associate with M a unique formal Fourier expansion

$$M(R_1, \dots, R_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} R_\alpha \otimes \theta_{(\alpha)},$$

where $R_i := U^* S_i U$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the right creation operators on $F^2(H_n)$ and U is the (flipping) unitary operator on $F^2(H_n)$ mapping $e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ into $e_{i_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes e_{i_1}$. Since the operator M acts like its Fourier representation on "polynomials", we will identify them for simplicity. Based on the noncommutative von Neumann inequality, we proved that

$$M(R_1, \dots, R_n) = \text{SOT} - \lim_{r \to 1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|} R_\alpha \otimes \theta_{(\alpha)},$$

where, for each $r \in [0,1)$, the series converges in the uniform norm. Moreover, the set of all multi-analytic operators in $B(F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}, F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}')$ coincides with $R_n^\infty \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')$, the WOT-closed operator space generated by the spatial tensor product, where $R_n^\infty = U^* F_n^\infty U$. A multi-analytic operator is called inner if it is an isometry.

Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $J \neq F_n^\infty$, and define the subspaces of the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{J F^2(H_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_J.$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{M}_J = \overline{\{\varphi(1) :\ \varphi \in J\}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_J = \bigcap_{\varphi \in J} \ker \varphi^*.$$

Based on a Beurling type theorem [22] for the left creation operators $S_1, \dots, S_n$, a characterization of all WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ was obtained by Davidson and Pitts in [11].

One can easily obtain the following result.

Lemma 1.1. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞.*

(i) *If $f(0) = 0$ for any $f \in J$, then $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{N}_J \neq 0$ if and only if $J \neq F_n^\infty$.*
(iii) *The subspaces $\mathcal{N}_J$ and $U\mathcal{N}_J$ are invariant under $S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*$ and $R_1^*, \dots, R_n^*$.*

Proof. The first part is obvious. The second part is a consequence of the fact (see [1], [12]) that, for any $\varphi \in F_n^\infty$,

$$d(\varphi, J) = \|P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)|\mathcal{N}_J\|.$$

Part (iii) is straightforward. □

Define the *constrained left* (resp. *right*) *creation operators* by setting

$$B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J}S_i|\mathcal{N}_J \quad \text{and} \quad W_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J}R_i|\mathcal{N}_J, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ be the w^*-closed algebra generated by $B_1, \dots, B_n$ and the identity. We proved in [1] that $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ has the $\mathbb{A}_1(1)$ property and therefore the w^* and WOT topologies coincide on this algebra. Moreover, we showed that

$$\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}F_n^\infty|\mathcal{N}_J = \{f(B_1, \dots, B_n) : \ f(S_1, \dots, S_n) \in F_n^\infty\},$$

where, according to the F_n^∞-functional calculus for row contractions [26],

$$f(B_1, \dots, B_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} f(rB_1, \dots, rB_n).$$

Note that if $\varphi \in J$, then $\varphi(B_1, \dots, B_n) = 0$. Similar results hold for $\mathcal{W}(W_1, \dots, W_n)$, the w^*-closed algebra generated by $W_1, \dots, W_n$ and the identity. Moreover, we proved in [1] that

$$\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)' = \mathcal{W}(W_1, \dots, W_n) \ \text{ and } \ \mathcal{W}(W_1, \dots, W_n)' = \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n),$$

where $'$ stands for the commutant. An operator $M \in B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}')$ is called multi-analytic with respect to the constrained shifts $B_1, \dots, B_n$ if

$$M(B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{K}) = (B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}'})M, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If in addition M is partially isometric, then we call it inner. We recall from [33] that the set of all multi-analytic operators with respect to $B_1, \dots, B_n$ coincides with

$$\mathcal{W}(W_1, \dots, W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}') = P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}'}[R_n^\infty \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')]|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

and a similar result holds for the algebra $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$.

The next result provides a Beurling type theorem characterizing the invariant subspaces under the constrained shifts $B_1, \dots, B_n$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and let $B_1, \dots, B_n$ be the corresponding constrained left creation operators on $\mathcal{N}_J$. A subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is invariant under each operator $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \ i = 1, \dots, n$, if and only if there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$ and an inner operator*

$$\Theta(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in \mathcal{W}(W_1, \dots, W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K})$$

such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \Theta(W_1, \dots, W_n)\,(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{G})\,. \tag{1.1}$$

Proof. According to Lemma 1.1, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes K$ is invariant under each operator $S_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$(S_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K} = B_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since the subspace $[\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}] \ominus \mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $B_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \ i = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that it is also invariant under each operator $S_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \ i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, the subspace

$$\mathcal{E} := [F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}] \ominus \{[\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}] \ominus \mathcal{M}\} = [\mathcal{M}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}] \oplus \mathcal{M} \tag{1.2}$$

is invariant under $S_i \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \ i = 1, \dots, n$, where $M_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{N}_J$. Using the Beurling type characterization of the invariant subspaces under the left creation operators (see Theorem

2.2 from [22]) and the characterization of multi-analytic operators from [27] (see also [33]), we find a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$ and an inner multi-analytic operator

$$\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)\in R_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{K})$$

such that

$$\mathcal{E}=\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)[F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{G}],$$

where $\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)$ is essentially unique up to a unitary diagonal multi-analytic operator. Since $\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)$ is an isometry, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{E}}=\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*, \tag{1.3}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{E}$. According to Lemma 1.1, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}$ is invariant under the operators $R_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Moreover, using the remarks preceding the theorem we have

$$\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)=P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}}\Theta(R_1,\dots,R_n)|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}.$$

Hence, and compressing equation (1.3) to the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}$, we obtain

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}}P_{\mathcal{E}}|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}=\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*.$$

Notice that, due to (1.2), the left hand side of this equality is equal to $P_{\mathcal{M}}$, the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{M}$. Hence,

$$P_{\mathcal{M}}=\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*$$

and $\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ is a partial isometry. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}=\Theta(W_1,\dots,W_n)\,[\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{G}]$$

and the proof is complete. □

We remark that in the particular case when the ideal J is generated by the polynomials $S_iS_j-S_jS_i,\ i,j=1,\dots,n$, then $\mathcal{N}_J=F_s^2$, the symmetric Fock space, and B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, are the creation operators on the symmetric Fock space. In this case Theorem 1.2 provides a Beurling type theorem for Arveson's space H^2 which was also obtained in [16] using different methods.

From now on, throughout this section, we assume that J is a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$. Then all the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are contained in the operator space*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}.$$

Moreover, the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ is irreducible.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace under each operator $S_i^*,\ i=1,\dots,n$, and contains the constants, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\mathcal{N}_J}-B_1B_1^*-\cdots-B_nB_n^*&=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}(I-S_1S_1^*-\cdots-S_nS_n^*)|\mathcal{N}_J\\&=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}P_{\mathbb{C}}|\mathcal{N}_J\\&=P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J},\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J$ onto $\mathbb{C}$. Let

$$g(S_1,\dots,S_n):=\sum_{|\alpha|\le m}a_\alpha S_\alpha\quad\text{and}\quad\xi:=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}b_\beta e_\beta\in\mathcal{N}_J\subset F^2(H_n).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1,\dots,B_n)^*\xi &= \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} P_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{a}_\alpha S_\alpha^*\xi = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \overline{a}_\alpha b_\alpha \\ &= \left\langle \xi, \sum_{|\alpha|\le m} a_\alpha e_\alpha \right\rangle = \langle \xi, g(B_1,\dots,B_n)(1)\rangle . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f(B_1,\dots,B_n)P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1,\dots,B_n)^*\xi = \langle \xi, g(B_1,\dots,B_n)(1)\rangle f(B_1,\dots,B_n)(1) \tag{1.4}$$

for any polynomial $f(B_1,\dots,B_n) := \sum_{|\gamma|\le p} c_\gamma B_\gamma$. Hence, $f(B_1,\dots,B_n)P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1,\dots,B_n)^*$ is a rank one operator in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$. Moreover, since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - B_1B_1^* - \cdots - B_nB_n^*$, we deduce that the above operator is also in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* :\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$.

Since the polynomials $\sum_{|\alpha|\le m} a_\alpha e_\alpha$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, are dense in $F^2(H_n)$, it is clear that the set

$$\mathcal{L} := \left\{ \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\le m} a_\alpha B_\alpha \right)(1) :\ m\in\mathbb{N}, a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C} \right\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{N}_J$. Using this density and relation (1.4), we deduce that all compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are included in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* :\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$.

To prove the last part of this lemma, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a nonzero subspace of $\mathcal{N}_J$ which is jointly reducing for $B_1,\dots,B_n$. Take $f\in\mathcal{M}$, $f\neq 0$ and assume that $f = a_0 + \sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1} a_\alpha e_\alpha$. If a_β is a nonzero coefficient of f, then

$$B_\beta^* f = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} S_\beta^* f = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\left(a_\beta + \sum_{|\gamma|\ge 1} a_{\beta\gamma} e_\gamma \right) \tag{1.5}$$

is in $\mathcal{M}$. Since $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$, we have $\langle P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\gamma, 1\rangle = \langle e_\gamma, 1\rangle = 0$ for any $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\gamma|\ge 1$. Hence, we deduce that

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J}1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathbb{C}}P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\left(\sum_{|\gamma|\ge 1} a_{\beta\gamma} e_\gamma \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, relation (1.5) implies $P_{\mathbb{C}}P_{\mathcal{N}_J} f = a_\beta$.

On the other hand, since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - B_1B_1^* - \cdots - B_nB_n^*$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is reducing for $B_1,\dots,B_n$, we infer that $a_\beta\in\mathcal{M}$, so $1\in\mathcal{M}$. Using again that $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $B_1,\dots,B_n$, we have $\mathcal{L}\subseteq\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_J$, we deduce that $\mathcal{N}_J\subset\mathcal{M}$ and therefore $\mathcal{N}_J = \mathcal{M}$. This completes the proof. □

We say that two row contractions $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, and $[T_1',\dots,T_n']$, $T_i'\in B(\mathcal{H}')$, are unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary operator $U:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}'$ such that $T_i = U^*T_i'U$ for any $i=1,\dots,n$. If $[B_1,\dots,B_n]$ is a constrained shift as above, then $[B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\dots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]$ is called constrained shift with multiplicity $\dim\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 1.4. *Two constrained shifts are unitarily equivalent if and only if their multiplicities are equal.*

Proof. Let $[B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal H},\dots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal H}]$ and $[B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal H'},\dots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal H'}]$ be two constrained shifts and let $U:\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal H\to\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal H'$ be a unitary operator such that

$$U(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal H})=(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal H'})U,\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Since U is unitary , we deduce that

$$U(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal H})=(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal H'})U,\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Since, according to Theorem 1.3, the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ is irreducible, we infer that $U=I_{\mathcal N_J}\otimes W$ for some unitary operator $W\in B(\mathcal H,\mathcal H')$. Therefore, $\dim\mathcal H=\dim\mathcal H'$. The converse is obvious. □

We need a few more definitions. Let $\mathcal S\subseteq B(\mathcal K)$ be a set of operators acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal K$. Denote by $A(\mathcal S)$ the nonselfadjoint algebra generated by S and the identity, and let $C^*(\mathcal S)$ be the C^*-algebra generated by $\mathcal S$ and the identity. A subspace $\mathcal H\subseteq\mathcal K$ is called $*$-cyclic for $\mathcal S$ if

$$\mathcal K=\bigvee\{Xh:\ X\in C^*(\mathcal S),\ h\in\mathcal H\},$$

i.e., $\mathcal K$ is the smallest reducing subpace for $\mathcal S$ which contains $\mathcal H$. We call $\mathcal H$ cyclic for $\mathcal S$ if

$$\mathcal K=\bigvee\{Xh:\ X\in A(\mathcal S),\ h\in\mathcal H\ \},$$

i.e., $\mathcal K$ is the smallest invariant subpace under $\mathcal S$ which contains $\mathcal H$. Finally, a subspace $\mathcal H\subseteq\mathcal K$ is called co-invariant under $\mathcal S$ if $X^*\mathcal H\subseteq\mathcal H$ for any $X\in\mathcal S$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1\in\mathcal N_J$ and let $\mathcal D$ be a Hilbert space. If $\mathcal M\subseteq\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal D$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal D}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, then there exists a subspace $\mathcal E\subseteq\mathcal D$ such that*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\,\{(B_\alpha\otimes I_{\mathcal D})\,\mathcal M:\ \alpha\in\mathbb F_n^+\}=\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal E. \tag{1.6}$$

Proof. Denote by $P_0:=P_{\mathbb C}\otimes I_{\mathcal D}$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal D$ onto the subspace $1\otimes\mathcal D$, which is identified with $\mathcal D$. Define the subspace $\mathcal E\subset\mathcal D$ by setting $\mathcal E:=P_0\mathcal M$ and let f be a nonzero element of $\mathcal M$ having the Fourier representation

$$f=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb F_n^+}e_\alpha\otimes h_\alpha,\quad h_\alpha\in\mathcal D.$$

Let $\beta\in\mathbb F_n^+$ be such that $h_\beta\neq0$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned}(B_\beta^*\otimes I_{\mathcal D})f&=(P_{\mathcal N_J}\otimes I_{\mathcal D})(S_\beta^*\otimes I_{\mathcal D})f\\&=(P_{\mathcal N_J}\otimes I_{\mathcal D})\left(1\otimes h_\beta+\sum_{|\gamma|\geq1}e_\gamma\otimes h_{\beta\gamma}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{1.7}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, since $1\in\mathcal N_J$, we have $P_{\mathcal N_J}1=1$ and $P_{\mathbb C}P_{\mathcal N_J}e_\gamma=0$ for $|\gamma|\geq1$. Hence and using (1.7), we obtain $P_0(B_\beta^*\otimes I_{\mathcal D})f=h_\beta$. Since $\mathcal M$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal D}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, it is clear that $h_\beta\in\mathcal E$. Using this and taking into account that $1\in\mathcal N_J$, we deduce that

$$(B_\beta\otimes I_{\mathcal D})(1\otimes h_\beta)=P_{\mathcal N_J}e_\beta\otimes h_\beta\in\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal E.$$

Now, since $f\in\mathcal M\subseteq\mathcal N_J\otimes\mathcal D$, we infer that

$$f=(P_{\mathcal N_J}\otimes I_{\mathcal D})f=\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=k}P_{\mathcal N_J}e_\beta\otimes h_\beta$$

is in $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}$. This shows that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{Y} := \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ (B_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{D}) \mathcal{M} : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}.$$

For the other inclusion, we prove first that $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$. If $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, $h_0 \neq 0$, then there exists $g \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $g = 1 \otimes h_0 + \sum\limits_{|\alpha| \geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha$. Due to the first part of the proof, we have

$$h_0 = P_0 g = \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^n (B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D})(B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D})^* \right) g.$$

Acoording to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have $P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J} = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{i=1} B_i B_i^*$. Using this and the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is co-invariant under $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that $h_0 \in \mathcal{Y}$ for any $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, i.e., $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$. The latter inclusion shows that $(B_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{D})(1 \otimes \mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{Y}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, which implies

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{1.8}$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E} \subset F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ be with Fourier representation $\varphi = \sum\limits_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} e_\alpha \otimes k_\alpha, \quad k_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$. Using relation (1.8), we have

$$\varphi = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_\mathcal{E}) g = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{|\alpha| = k} P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\alpha \otimes k_\alpha \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, which completes the proof. ☐

Corollary 1.6. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Hilbert space. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ be a co-invariant subspace under $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{M}$ is a cyclic subspace for $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$;*
(ii) *$P_0 \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}$;*
(iii) *$\mathcal{M}^\perp \bigcap \mathcal{D} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. The equivalence $(i) \leftrightarrow (ii)$ is clear from Theorem 1.5 and the definition of cyclic subspace. To prove that $(ii) \leftrightarrow (iii)$, notice first that if there exists $h \in \mathcal{M}^\perp \bigcap \mathcal{D}$, $h \neq 0$, then taking into account that $\mathcal{M}^\perp$ is invariant under $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes h \subset \mathcal{M}^\perp$. This shows that $h \notin P_0 \mathcal{M}$ which means that $P_0 \mathcal{M}$ is not equal to $\mathcal{D}$. Now, assume that there exists $k \in \mathcal{D}$, $k \neq 0$, such that $k \perp P_0 \mathcal{M}$. Since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, we have $k \perp \mathcal{M}$ which shows that $k \in \mathcal{D} \bigcap \mathcal{M}^\perp$. The proof is complete. ☐

Corollary 1.7. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Hilbert space. A subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is reducing under each operator $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, if and only if there exists a subspace $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}.$$

The next result, is a Wold type decomposition for nondegenerate $*$-representations of the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, and let $\pi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ be a nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Then π decomposes into a direct sum*

$$\pi = \pi_0 \oplus \pi_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1,$$

where π_0, π_1 *are disjoint representations of* $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ *on the Hilbert spaces*

$$\mathcal{K}_0 := \overline{\text{span}}\left\{\pi(B_\alpha)\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^n \pi(B_i)\pi(B_i)^*\right)\mathcal{K}:\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\right\} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \mathcal{K}_1:=\mathcal{K}_0^\perp,$$

respectively, such that, up to an isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{K}_0\simeq \mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{G},\quad \pi_0(X)=X\otimes I_{\mathcal{G}},\quad X\in C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n), \tag{1.9}$$

for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$ *with*

$$\dim\mathcal{G}=\dim\left[\text{range}\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^n \pi(B_i)\pi(B_i)^*\right)\right],$$

and π_1 *is a* $*$*-representation which annihilates the compact operators and*

$$\pi_1(B_1)\pi_1(B_1)^*+\cdots+\pi_1(B_n)\pi_1(B_n)^*=I_{\mathcal{K}_1}.$$

Moreover, if π' *is another nondegenerate* $*$*-representation of* $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ *on a separable Hilbert space* $\mathcal{K}'$*, then* π *is unitarily equivalent to* π' *if and only if* $\dim\mathcal{G}=\dim\mathcal{G}'$ *and* π_1 *is unitarily equivalent to* π_1'*.*

Proof. Since the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$ contains the constants, Theorem 1.3 implies that all the compact operators $\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J))$ in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are contained in $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$. According to the standard theory of representations of the C^*-algebras, the representation π decomposes into a direct sum $\pi=\pi_0\oplus\pi_1$ on $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_0\oplus\mathcal{K}_1$, where

$$\mathcal{K}_0:=\overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(X)\mathcal{K}:\ X\in\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J)\}\qquad\text{and}\qquad \mathcal{K}_1:=\mathcal{K}_0^\perp,$$

and the the representations $\pi_j: C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)\to\mathcal{K}_j$ are defined by $\pi_j(X):=\pi(X)|\mathcal{K}_j$, $j=0,1$. Now, it is clear that π_0, π_1 are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ such that π_1 annihilates the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$, and π_0 is uniquely determined by the action of π on the ideal $\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J))$. Since every representation of $\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J))$ is equivalent to a multiple of the identity representation (see [3]), we deduce (1.9). Now, we show that the space $\mathcal{K}_0$ coincides with the one defined in the theorem. Using Theorem 1.3 and its proof, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_0&:=\overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(X)\mathcal{K}:\ X\in\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J)\}\\&=\overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(B_\alpha P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}B_\beta^*)\mathcal{K}:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}\\&=\overline{\text{span}}\left\{\pi(B_\alpha)\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^n\pi(B_i)\pi(B_i)^*\right)\mathcal{K}:\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}=I-\sum\limits_{i=1}^n B_iB_i^*$ is a rank one projection in $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ (see Theorem 1.3), we deduce that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^n\pi_1(B_i)\pi_1(B_i)^*=I_{\mathcal{K}_1}$, and

$$\dim\mathcal{G}=\dim\left[\text{range}\,\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\right]=\dim\left[\text{range}\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^n\pi(B_i)\pi(B_i)^*\right)\right].$$

To prove the uniqueness, note that according to the standard theory of representations of C^*-algebras, π and π' are unitarily equivalent if and only if π_0 and π_0' (resp. π_1 and π_1') are unitarily equivalent. Using Proposition 1.4, we deduce that $\dim\mathcal{G}=\dim\mathcal{G}'$ and complete the proof. □

Corollary 1.9. *Under the hypotheses and notations of Theorem 1.8 and setting* $V_i := \pi(B_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, *we have:*

- (i) $Q := I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^*$ *is an orthogonal projection and* $Q\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker V_i^*$*;*
- (ii) $\mathcal{K}_0 = \left\{ k \in \mathcal{K} : \lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|V_\alpha^* k\|^2 = 0 \right\}$*;*
- (iii) $\mathcal{K}_1 = \left\{ k \in \mathcal{K} : \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|V_\alpha^* k\|^2 = \|k\|^2 \ \textit{ for any } k = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}$*;*
- (iv) $\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_\alpha V_\alpha^* = P_{\mathcal{K}_1}$*;*
- (v) $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_\alpha Q V_\alpha^* = P_{\mathcal{K}_0}$*;*

Proof. Since $I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^* = P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$ is an orthogonal projection (see the proof of Theorem 1.3), so is $Q = \pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Q\mathcal{K} &= \left\{ k \in \mathcal{K} : \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* \right) k = k \right\} \\ &= \left\{ k \in \mathcal{K} : \sum_{i=1}^n V_i V_i^* k = 0 \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker V_i^*, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i). Using Theorem 1.8, we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_\alpha V_\alpha^* = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.10}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_J$ is co-invariant under $S_1, \ldots, S_n$, and $B_i^* = S_i^* | \mathcal{N}_J$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} = \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \right) | \mathcal{N}_J \right] \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} = 0.$$

The latter equality holds due to the fact that $[S_1, \ldots, S_n]$ is a pure contraction. Therefore, (iv) holds. Hence, and taking into account that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_\alpha Q V_\alpha^* = I - \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} V_\alpha V_\alpha^*,$$

we deduce (v). Now, let $k \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1$, $k = k_0 + k_1$, with $k_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and $k_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$. By (1.10), we have

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|V_\alpha^* k\|^2 = \left\langle \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} \right) k_0, k_0 \right\rangle + \|k_1\|^2, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{1.11}$$

Hence, $\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|V_\alpha^*k\|^2=0$ if and only if $k_1=0$, i.e., $k=k_0\in\mathcal{K}_0$, which proves (ii). On the other hand, (1.11) shows that $\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|V_\alpha^*k\|^2=\|k\|^2$ for any $m=1,2,\ldots$, if and only if $\left\langle\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=m}B_\alpha B_\alpha^*\otimes I_\mathcal{G}\right)k_0,k_0\right\rangle=\|k_0\|^2$ for any $m=1,2,\ldots$. Since $[B_1,\ldots,B_n]$ is a pure row contraction, SOT-$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}B_\alpha B_\alpha^*=0$. Therefore, the above equality holds for any $m=1,2,\ldots$, if and only if $k_0=0$, which is equivalent to $k=k_1\in\mathcal{K}_1$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 1.10. *Let π be a nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, and let $V_i:=\pi(B_i)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $V:=[V_1,\ldots,V_n]$ *is a constrained shift;*
(ii) $\mathcal{K}=\overline{\text{span}}\left\{V_\alpha\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^n V_iV_i^*\right)\mathcal{K}:\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}$;
(iii) SOT-$\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|=k}V_\alpha V_\alpha^*=0$.

In this case, the multiplicity of V (denoted by $\operatorname{mult}(V)$) satisfies the equality

$$\operatorname{mult}(V)=\dim(I-V_1V_1^*-\cdots-V_nV_n^*)\mathcal{K}, \tag{1.12}$$

and it is also equal to the minimum dimension of a cyclic subspace for $V_1,\ldots,V_n$.

Proof. The above equivalences are consequences of Corollary 1.9, and relation (1.12) follows from Theorem 1.8. We prove the last part of the corollary. According to (ii) and Corollary 1.9,

$$\mathcal{L}:=\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i^*=(I-V_1V_1^*-\cdots-V_nV_n^*)\mathcal{K}$$

is a cyclic subspace for $V_1,\ldots,V_n$. Now, let $\mathcal{E}$ be any cyclic subspace for $V_1,\ldots,V_n$, i.e., $\mathcal{K}=\bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}V_\alpha\mathcal{E}$, and denote $A:=P_\mathcal{L}|\mathcal{E}\in B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{L})$, where $P_\mathcal{L}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{L}$. Assume that $k\in\mathcal{L}\ominus T\mathcal{E}$ and let $h\in\mathcal{E}$. Notice that

$$\langle h,k\rangle=\langle h,P_\mathcal{L}k\rangle=\langle Ah,k\rangle=0.$$

On the other hand, since $V_\alpha^*k=0$ for any $k\in\mathcal{L}$, we have $\langle V_\alpha h,k\rangle=0$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha|\geq 1$. Therefore, $k\perp V_\alpha\mathcal{E}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a cyclic subspacefor $V_1,\ldots,V_n$, we deduce that $k=0$, and therefore $\overline{A\mathcal{E}}=\mathcal{L}$. This shows that $A^*\in B(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{E})$ is one-to-one and, consequently, $\dim\mathcal{L}\leq\dim\mathcal{E}$. This completes the proof. □

An easy consequence of Corollary 1.10 and Proposition 1.4 is the following.

Proposition 1.11. *Two constrained shifts are similar if and only if they are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. One implication is obvious. Let $V:=[V_1,\ldots,V_n]$, $V_i\in B(\mathcal{K})$, and $V':=[V_1',\ldots,V_n']$, $V_i'\in B(\mathcal{K}')$, be two constrained shifts and let $X:\mathcal{K}\to\mathcal{K}'$ be an invertible operator such that

$$XV_i=V_i'X,\quad i=1,\ldots,n.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a cyclic subspaces for $V_1, \ldots, V_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}' = X\mathcal{K} = X\left(\bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha \mathcal{M}\right)\\ \subseteq \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} XV_\alpha\mathcal{M} = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V'_\alpha X\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{K}'.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{K}' = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V'_\alpha X\mathcal{M}$, which shows that $X\mathcal{M}$ is cyclic for V'. Since X is invertible, $\dim \mathcal{M} = \dim X\mathcal{M}$. Hence an using Corollary 1.10, we conclude that the two constrained shifts have the same multiplicity. By Proposition 1.4, the result follows. ☐

2. Dilations for constrained row contractions

In this section we develop a dilation theory for row contractions $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ subject to constraints such as

$$p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0, \quad p \in \mathcal{P},$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is a set of noncommutative polynomials. The model n-tuple is the universal row contraction $[B_1, \ldots, B_n]$ satisfying the same constraints as T. The theory is based on a class of *constrained Poisson kernels* associated with T and representations of the C^*-algebra generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ and the identity. Under natural conditions on the constraints we have uniqueness for the minimal dilation. We introduce and evaluate the *dilation index*, a numerical invariant for row contractions, and show that it does not depend on the constraints. These results are used in the next sections in connection with characteristic functions and models for constrained row contractions.

We need to recall from [30] a few facts about noncommutative Poisson transforms associated with row contractions $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$. For each $0 < r \leq 1$, define the defect operator $\Delta_{T,r} := (I - r^2 T_1 T_1^* - \cdots - r^2 T_n T_n^*)^{1/2}$. The Poisson kernel associated with T is the family of operators

$$K_{T,r} : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \overline{\Delta_{T,r}\mathcal{H}}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1,$$

defined by

$$K_{T,r}h := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} e_\alpha \otimes r^{|\alpha|} \Delta_{T,r} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{2.1}$$

When $r = 1$, we denote $\Delta_T := \Delta_{T,1}$ and $K_T := K_{T,1}$. The operators $K_{T,r}$ are isometries if $0 < r < 1$, and

$$K_T^* K_T = I - \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^*.$$

This shows that K_T is an isometry if and only if T is a *pure* row contraction ([21]), i.e.,

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^* = 0.$$

A key property of the Poisson kernel is that

$$K_{T,r}(r^{|\alpha|} T_\alpha^*) = (S_\alpha^* \otimes I) K_{T,r} \qquad \text{for any } 0 < r \leq 1,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{2.2}$$

In [30], we introduced the Poisson transform associated with $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n]$ as the unital completely contractive linear map $\Psi_T : C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\Psi_T(f) := \lim_{r\to 1} K_{T,r}^*(f \otimes I)K_{T,r},$$

where the limit exists in the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, we have

$$\Psi_T(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

When T is a completely non-coisometric (c.n.c.) row-contraction, i.e., there is no $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $h \neq 0$, such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|T_\alpha^* h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 \quad \text{for any} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

an F_n^∞-functional calculus was developed in [26]. We showed that if $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha S_\alpha$ is in F_n^∞, then

$$\Gamma_T(f) = f(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|} a_\alpha T_\alpha$$

exists and $\Gamma_T : F_n^\infty \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a WOT-continuous completely contractive homomorphism. More about noncommutative Poisson transforms on C^*-algebras generated by isometries can be found in [30], [1], [31], [32], and [34].

Throughout this section we keep the notations from Section 1. Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J \subset F_n^\infty$ and let $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction such that

$$p(T_1, \dots, T_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_J.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces and let $Z_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be bounded operators such that $[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ is a Cuntz row contraction, i.e.,

$$Z_1 Z_1^* + \cdots + Z_n Z_n^* = I_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

An n-tuple $V := [V_1, \dots, V_n]$ of operators with

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} & 0 \\ 0 & Z_i \end{bmatrix}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{2.3}$$

where the n-tuple $[B_1, \dots, B_n]$ is the constrained shift associated with J, is called *constrained* (or *J-constrained*) *dilation* of T if:

- (i) $p(V_1, \dots, V_n) = 0$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_J$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace under $V_1, \dots, V_n$ such that

$$T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}} V_i|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The dilation is minimal if $\mathcal{H}$ is cyclic for $V_1, \dots, V_n$, i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}) \oplus \mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha \mathcal{H}.$$

We introduce the *dilation index* of T, denoted by dil-ind$\,(T)$, to be the minimum dimension of $\mathcal{D}$ such that V is a constrained dilation of T.

Our first dilation result for constrained row contractions is the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $J \neq F_n^\infty$ *be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of* F_n^∞ *generated by a family of polynomials* $\mathcal{P}_J \subset F_n^\infty$ *and let* $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, *be a row contraction such that*

$$p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0 \quad \textit{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_J. \tag{2.4}$$

Then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ *and some operators* $Z_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$ *with the properties*

$$Z_1 Z_1^* + \cdots + Z_n Z_n^* = I_{\mathcal{K}}$$

and

$$p(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = 0 \quad \textit{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_J,$$

such that:

(i) $\mathcal{H}$ *can be identified with a co-invariant subspace of* $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}$ *under the operators*

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & Z_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n;$$

(ii) $T_i^* = V_i^* | \mathcal{H}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ *if and only if* $[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ *is a pure row contraction.*

Proof. Consider the subspace

$$\mathcal{M} := \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ S_\alpha p(S_1, \ldots, S_n) S_\beta(1) : \ p \in \mathcal{P}_J, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_J$. To prove that $\mathcal{M}_J \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{M}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}_J^\perp$. Let $g \in F^2(H_n)$ be such that

$$\langle g, S_\alpha p(S_1, \ldots, S_n) S_\beta(1) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any} \ \ p \in \mathcal{P}_J, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

It is known (see [1], [12]) that for any $\varphi(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \in F_n^\infty$, there is a sequence of polynomials $\{q_m(S_1, \ldots, S_n)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ which is SOT-convergent to $\varphi(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ as $m \to \infty$. Consequently,

$$\langle g, \varphi(S_1, \ldots, S_n) p(S_1, \ldots, S_n) S_\beta(1) \rangle = 0$$

for any $\varphi(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \in F_n^\infty$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_J$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Hence, $g \in \mathcal{M}_J^\perp$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_J = \mathcal{M}$.

Now, using the properties of the Poisson kernel K_T (see (2.2)) and that $p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0$ for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_J$, we obtain

$$\langle K_T k, S_\alpha p(S_1, \ldots, S_n) S_\beta(1) \otimes h \rangle = \langle k, T_\alpha p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) T_\beta \Delta_T h \rangle = 0$$

for any $k \in \mathcal{H}$, $h \in \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}$, and $p \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Since $\mathcal{M}_J = \mathcal{M}$, we infer that

$$\text{range}\, K_T \subseteq \left(\mathcal{M}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}} \right)^\perp = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Consider the *constrained Poisson kernel* $K_{J,T} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$K_{J,T} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}) K_T,$$

where K_T is the Poisson kernel defined by (2.1). Using relations (2.2) and (2.5), we obtain

$$K_{J,T} T_\alpha^* = (B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{J,T}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{2.6}$$

Define the contraction $Q := \text{SOT-}\lim\limits_{k \to \infty} \sum\limits_{|\alpha| = k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^*$ and the operator

$$Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K} := \overline{Q^{1/2} \mathcal{H}} \quad \text{by} \quad Yh := Q^{1/2} h, \ h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, define $\Lambda_i : Q^{1/2} \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ by setting

$$\Lambda_i Y h := Y T_i^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{2.7}$$

The operators Λ_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, are well-defined since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|\Lambda_i Yh\|^2 = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n T_i Q T_i^* h, h\right\rangle = \|Q^{1/2}h\|^2.$$

Therefore the operator Λ_i can be extended to a bounded operator on $\mathcal{K}$, which will also be denoted by Λ_i. Now, setting $Z_i := \Lambda_i^*$, $i=1,\dots,n$, relation (2.7) implies

$$Y^* Z_i = T_i Y^*, \quad i=1,\dots,n. \tag{2.8}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} Y^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i^*\right) Y &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i Y^* Y T_i^* \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n T_i Q T_i^* = Q = YY^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\langle \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i^*\right) Yh, Yh\right\rangle = \langle Yh, Yh\rangle,$$

which implies $\sum\limits_{i=1}^n Z_i Z_i^* = I_{\mathcal{K}}$. Using relations (2.8) and (2.4), we get

$$Y^* p(Z_1,\dots,Z_n) = p(T_1,\dots,T_n)Y^* = 0, \quad p\in \mathcal{P}_J.$$

Since Y^* is injective on $\mathcal{K}=\overline{Y\mathcal{H}}$, we deduce that $p(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)=0$ for any $p\in\mathcal{P}_J$.

Define the operator $V:\mathcal{H}\to[\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}]\oplus\mathcal{K}$ by setting

$$V := \begin{bmatrix} K_{J,T} \\ Y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vh\|^2 &= \|K_{J,Y}h\|^2 + \|Yh\|^2 \\ &\|h\|^2 - \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\langle \sum_{|\alpha|=k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^*\right\rangle + \|Yh\|^2 \\ &= \|h\|^2 - \langle Qh,h\rangle + \langle Qh,h\rangle \\ &= \|h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $h\in\mathcal{H}$. Therefore, V is an isometry. On the other hand, using relations (2.6) and (2.7), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} VT_i^* &= \begin{bmatrix} K_{J,T} \\ Y \end{bmatrix} T_i^* h = K_{J,T}T_i^*h \oplus YT_i^*h \\ &= (B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{J,T}h \oplus Z_i^* Yh \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} B_i^*\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & Z_i^* \end{bmatrix} Vh. \end{aligned}$$

Since V is an isometry we can identify $\mathcal{H}$ with $V\mathcal{H}$ and complete the proof of (i) and (ii). The last part of the theorem is obvious. □

Corollary 2.2. *In the particular case when $n=1$ and $\mathcal{P}_J=0$, we obtain the classical isometric dilation theorem for contractions obtained by Sz.-Nagy (see [38]) by different methods.*

Now we can evaluate the dilation index of a constrained row contraction and show that it does not depend on the constraints. Moreover, we show that the dilation index coincides with $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T$, which is also called the pure rank of T in [10].

Corollary 2.3. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J \subset F_n^\infty$ and let $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction such that*

$$p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_J.$$

Then the dilation index of T satisfies the equation

$$\text{dil-ind}\,(T) = \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces and let $Z_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$ be bounded operators such that

$$Z_1 Z_1^* + \cdots + Z_n Z_n^* = I_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Assume that the n-tuple $V := [V_1, \ldots, V_n]$ given by

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} & 0 \\ 0 & Z_i \end{bmatrix}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.9}$$

is a constrained dilation of T. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant under $V_1, \ldots, V_n$, and $\mathcal{N}_J$ is co-invariant under the left creation operators $S_1, \ldots, S_n$, we have

$$I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* = P_{\mathcal{H}} \begin{bmatrix} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \left(I - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* \right) |\mathcal{N}_J \right] \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{H}.$$

Hence, and taking into account that $I - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^*$ is a rank one operator, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T &\leq \operatorname{rank} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* \right) |\mathcal{N}_J \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] \\ &\leq \dim \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using Theorem 2.1, we conclude that $\text{dil-ind}\,(T) = \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T$. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by a family $\mathcal{P}_J$ of homogenous polynomials, $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, and $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction such that*

$$p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_J. \tag{2.10}$$

Then there exists a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\pi$ and a $*$-representation $\pi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ which annihilates the compact operators and

$$\pi(B_1)\pi(B_1)^* + \cdots + \pi(B_n)\pi(B_n)^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi},$$

such that

(i) *$\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a $*$-cyclic co-invariant subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$ under the operators*

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(B_i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n;$$

(ii) $T_i^* = V_i^* |\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$

Proof. According to [35], if $\mathcal{P}_J$ consists of homogeneous polynomials, then

$$\operatorname{range} K_{T,r} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H} \quad \text{for any} \quad r \in (0,1),$$

the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{J,T,r} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{T,r}$ is an isometry, and there is a unique unital completely contractive linear map

$$\Phi_{J,T} : \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\Phi_{J,T}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Applying Arveson extension theorem [2] to the map $\Phi_{J,T}$, we obtain a unital completely positive linear map $\Psi_{J,T} : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ be a minimal Stinespring dilation of $\Psi_{J,T}$, i.e.,

$$\Psi_{J,T}(X) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(X)|\mathcal{H}, \quad X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(X)h : h \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Notice that, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{J,T}(B_i B_i^*) &= T_i T_i^* = P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(B_i) \tilde{\pi}(B_i^*)|\mathcal{H} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(B_i)(P_{\mathcal{H}} + P_{\mathcal{H}^\perp}) \tilde{\pi}(B_i^*)|\mathcal{H} \\ &= \Psi_{J,T}(B_i B_i^*) + (P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp})(P_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} \tilde{\pi}(B_i^*)|\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we infer that $P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{J,T}(B_\alpha X) &= P_{\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha) \tilde{\pi}(X))|\mathcal{H} \\ &= (P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha)|\mathcal{H})(P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(X)|\mathcal{H}) \\ &= \Psi_{J,T}(B_\alpha) \Psi_{J,T}(X) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

for any $X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Note that the Hilbert space $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is separable and $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each $\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, due to the fact that $P_{\mathcal{H}} \tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = 0$. This means that

$$\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*|\mathcal{H} = \Psi_{J,T}(B_i^*) = T_i^*, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, since the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$ contains the constants, we can apply Theorem 1.3 and deduce that all the compact operators $\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J))$ in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are contained in $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. According to Theorem 1.8, the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ decomposes into a direct sum $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_0 \oplus \pi$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$, where π_0, π are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ on the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{K}_0$ and $\mathcal{K}_\pi$, respectively, such that

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \simeq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{G}, \quad \pi_0(X) = X \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}, \quad X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n), \tag{2.13}$$

for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$, and π is a representation such that $\pi(\mathcal{LC}(\mathcal{N}_J)) = 0$. Since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} = I - \sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^*$ is a rank one projection in $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$, we deduce that $\sum_{i=1}^n \pi(B_i)\pi(B_i)^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}$, and

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim(\operatorname{range} \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})).$$

Using the minimality of the Stinespring representation $\tilde{\pi}$ and the proof of Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{range} \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) &= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) \tilde{\pi}(X)h : X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n), h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ &= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) \tilde{\pi}(Y)h : Y \in \mathcal{C}_0, h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ &= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) \tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} B_\beta^*)h : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ &= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) \tilde{\pi}(B_\beta^*)h : \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, h \in \mathcal{H}\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using relation (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \tilde\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde\pi(B_\alpha^*)h, \tilde\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde\pi(B_\beta^*)k\right\rangle &= \left\langle h, \pi(B_\alpha)\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\pi(B_\beta^*)h\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle h, T_\alpha\left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n T_iT_i^*\right)T_\beta^* h\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle \Delta_T T_\alpha^* h, \Delta_T T_\beta^* k\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for any $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. This shows that one can define a unitary operator $\Lambda : \operatorname{range}\tilde\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) \to \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ by setting

$$\Lambda(\tilde\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde\pi(B_\alpha^*)h) := \Delta_T T_\alpha^*, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

and extending it by linearity and continuity. Therefore, we have

$$\dim[\operatorname{range}\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})] = \dim\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}} = \dim\mathcal{G}.$$

Hence, making the appropriate identification of $\mathcal{G}$ with $\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ and using relations (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain the required dilation. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $V := [V_1, \ldots, V_n]$ be the dilation of Theorem 2.4. Then,*

(i) *V is a constrained shift if and only if T is a pure constrained row contraction;*
(ii) *V is a Cuntz type representation if and only if T is a constrained row contraction such that*

$$T_1T_1^* + \cdots + T_nT_n^* = I.$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^* = P_{\mathcal{H}}\begin{bmatrix}\sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}} & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}\end{bmatrix}|\mathcal{H}$$

and therefore,

$$\text{SOT-}\sum_{|\alpha|=k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^* = P_{\mathcal{H}}\begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}\end{bmatrix}|\mathcal{H}.$$

This shows that T is a pure row contraction if and only if $P_{\mathcal{H}}P_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}|\mathcal{H} = 0$. The latter condition is equivalent to $\mathcal{H} \perp (0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi)$, which implies $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$. Now, since $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ is reducing for each operator V_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is the smallest reducing subspace for the same operators, which contains $\mathcal{H}$, we conclude that $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$, which proves (i).

Now assume that the dilation V is a Cuntz type representation, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n V_iV_i^* = I_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$. Since

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_\alpha V_\alpha^* = \begin{bmatrix}\sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}} & 0\\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}\end{bmatrix},$$

we deduce that $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}} = I_{\mathcal{K}_0}$ for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Due to the fact that SOT-$\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_\alpha B_\alpha^* = 0$, we must have $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{0\}$. Using the proof of Theorem 2.4, we get $\mathcal{G} = \{0\}$, which means $\Delta_T = 0$. The proof is complete. □

Under additional hypotheses, one can obtain the following remarkable particular case of Theorem 2.4, where the dilation is unique up to a unitary equivalence.

Corollary 2.6. *If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4*

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\,\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* :\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}=C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n), \tag{2.14}$$

then the dilation of Theorem 2.4 is minimal, i.e., $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}=\bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}V_\alpha\mathcal{H}$*, and it is unique up to a unitary equivalence.*

Let $T':=[T_1',\dots,T_n']$, $T_i'\in B(\mathcal{H}')$*, be another row contraction subject to the same constraints as* T *and let* $V':=[V_1',\dots,V_n']$ *be the corresponding dilation. Then* T *and* T' *are unitarily equivalent if and only if*

$$\dim\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}=\dim\overline{\Delta_{T'}\mathcal{H}'}$$

and there are unitary operators $\Lambda:\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_{T'}\mathcal{H}'}$ *and* $\Gamma:\mathcal{K}_\pi\to\mathcal{K}_{\pi'}$ *such that*

$$\Lambda(B_i\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}})=(B_i\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{T'}\mathcal{H}'}})\Lambda\quad\text{and}\quad\Gamma\pi(B_i)=\pi'(B_i)\Gamma\qquad\text{for}\qquad i=1,\dots,n,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix}\Lambda&0\\0&\Gamma\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}'.$$

Proof. A closer look at the proof of Theorem 2.4 reveals that, under condition (2.14), the map $\Psi_{J,T}$ is unique. Using the uniqueness of the minimal Stinespring representation (see [37], [4]), one can prove the uniqueness of the minimal dilation of Theorem 2.1. The last part of this corollary follows using standard arguments concerning representation theory of C^*-algebras [3] and the uniqueness of minimal completely positive dilations of completely positive maps of C^*-algebras. □

In what follows we present several examples when the condition (2.14) is satisfied.

Example 2.7. *Let* $\mathcal{P}_J\subset F_n^\infty$ *by a set of polynomials and let* J *be the WOT-closed two-sided ideal of* F_n^∞ *generated by* $\mathcal{P}_J$*. The condition* (2.14) *is satisfied in the following particular cases.*

(i) *If* $\mathcal{P}_J:=0$*, then* $\mathcal{N}_J=F^2(H_n)$, $B_i=S_i$*, and therefore* $S_j^*S_i=\delta_{ij}I$*. In this case, Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.6 imply the standard noncommutative isometric dilation theorem for row contraction* [21].

(ii) *If* $\mathcal{P}_J:=\{S_iS_j-S_jS_i:\ i,j=1,\dots,n\}$*, then* $\mathcal{N}_J=F_s^2$*, the symmetric Fock space, and* B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$*, are the creation operators on the symmetric Fock space. We obtain in this case the dilation theorem for commuting row contractions* (*see* [13], [4], *and* [30]).

(iii) *If* $B_1,\dots,B_n$ *are essentially normal.*

(iv) *Let* $\mathcal{P}_J$ *be a set of homogenous polynomials in* F_n^∞*. According to Lemma 1.1,* $U\mathcal{N}_J$ *is a subspace invariant under* S_i^*, $i=1,\dots,n$*. Using the characterization of the invariant subspace for the left creation operators* [22]*, there exists an essentially unique sequence* $\{\varphi_p(S_1,\dots,S_n)\}_{p=1}^N\subset F_n^\infty$, $N=1,2,\dots,\infty$*, of isometries with orthogonal ranges such that*

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J}=I-\sum_{p=1}^N\varphi_p(S_1,\dots,S_n)\varphi_p(S_1,\dots,S_n)^*,$$

where the series is SOT-convergent if $N=\infty$*. If the above sequence is finite* ($N<\infty$) *and* $\varphi_p(S_1,\dots,S_n)$, $p=1,\dots,N$*, are in the noncommutative disc algebra* $\mathcal{A}_n$*, then* *condition* (2.14) *holds. Indeed, in this case we have*

$$B_i^*B_j = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} S_i^* \left(I - \sum_{p=1}^N \varphi_p(S_1, \dots, S_n)\varphi_p(S_1, \dots, S_n)^* \right) S_j|\mathcal{N}_J, \quad i,j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $S_i^*S_j = \delta_{ij}I$ *and* $\mathcal{N}_J$ *is invariant under* S_i^*, $i = 1, \dots, n$, *we deduce that* $B_i^*B_j$ *is in* $\overline{\text{span}}\,\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$.

(v) *If* $\mathcal{P}_J := \{S_\alpha : \ |\alpha| = m\}$, *then* $P_{\mathcal{N}_J} = I - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$. *In this case, we have*

$$B_i^*B_j = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} S_i^* \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|=m} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \right) S_j|\mathcal{N}_J$$

and $B_i^*B_j$ *is in* $\text{span}\,\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$.

(vi) *If* $\mathcal{P}_J := \{S_\alpha : \ |\alpha| = m\} \cup \{S_iS_j - S_jS_i : \ i,j = 1, \dots, n\}$.

(vii) *If* $\mathcal{P}_J := \{S_jS_i - q_{ji}S_iS_j : \ i < j, \ i,j = 1, \dots, n\}$ *for some* $q_{ji} \in \mathbb{C}$, *then* $B_i^*B_j = 0$ *if* $i \neq j$ *and* $B_i^*B_i$ *can be written as a linear combination of the identity and* $B_jB_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. *In this case we obtain the dilation result from* [7].

Let $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction and let $\mathcal{C} \subset F_n^\infty$. If T is a c.n.c. row contraction and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is defined by the F_n^∞-functional calculus for row contractions [26]. When T is an arbitrary row contraction, then we assume that $\mathcal{C}$ consists of polynomials.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{C}$ the closed span of all co-invariant spaces $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ under $T_1, \dots, T_n$ such that

$$\varphi(P_\mathcal{M} T_1|\mathcal{M}, \dots, P_\mathcal{M} T_n|\mathcal{M}) = 0 \quad \text{ for any } \ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}.$$

We call the row contraction

$$[P_{\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{C}} T_1|\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{C}, \dots, P_{\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{C}} T_n|\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{C}]$$

the *maximal* $\mathcal{C}$*-constrained piece* of $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$.

Lemma 2.8. *If* $V := [V_1, \dots, V_n]$, $V_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, *is a row contraction then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{C} &= \overline{\text{span}}\left\{V_\alpha \varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)\mathcal{H} : \ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}^\perp \\ &= \bigcap_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)^* V_\alpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Denote

$$\mathcal{E} := \text{span}\left\{V_\alpha \varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)\mathcal{H} : \ \varphi \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}$$

and note that $\mathcal{E}^\perp$ is co-invariant under $V_1, \dots, V_n$. If $h \in \mathcal{E}^\perp$ and $k \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$0 = \langle \varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)k, h\rangle = \langle k, \varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)^*h\rangle \,.$$

Hence, we get $\varphi(P_{\mathcal{E}^\perp} V_1|\mathcal{E}^\perp, \dots, P_{\mathcal{E}^\perp} V_n|\mathcal{E}^\perp) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a co-invariant subspace under $V_1, \dots, V_n$ such that $\varphi(P_\mathcal{M} V_1|\mathcal{M}, \dots, P_\mathcal{M} V_n|\mathcal{M}) = 0$. For any $h \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have $V_\alpha^*h \in \mathcal{M}$, therefore $\varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)^* V_\alpha^* h = 0$. This implies $\langle h, V_\alpha \varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n)k\rangle = 0$ for any $k \in \mathcal{H}$, which shows that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{E}^\perp$ and completes the proof. $\square$

Using this lemma and the definition of the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$, one can easily prove the following.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be an arbitrary WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞, $S_1, \dots, S_n$ be the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$, and $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} S_i|\mathcal{N}_J$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $[B_1, \dots, B_n]$ is the maximal J-constrained piece of $[S_1, \dots, S_n]$.*

We consider now the particular case when the row contraction $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n]$ is pure, i.e., SOT-$\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k} T_\alpha T_\alpha^* = 0$. In this case, the results of this section can be extended to a larger class of constrained row contractions.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and let $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a pure row contraction such that*

$$f(T_1, \dots, T_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad f \in J.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) *The constrained Poisson kernel $K_{J,T} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}$ defined by setting*

$$K_{J,T} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I) K_T$$

is an isometry, $K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant under $B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$T_i = K_{J,T}^* (B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}) K_{J,T}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.15}$$

(ii) dil-ind$\,(T) = \operatorname{rank} \Delta_T$.

(iii) *If $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, then the dilation provided by* (2.15) *is minimal.*

If, in addition, $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} = C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n), \tag{2.16}$$

then we have:

(iv) *The minimal dilation provided by* (2.15) *is unique up to an isomorphism.*

(v) *The minimal dilation is the maximal J-constrained piece of the standard noncommutative isometric dilation of T.*

(vi) *A pure constrained row contraction has* $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T = m$, *$m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, if and only if it is unitarily equivalent to one obtained by compressing $[B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}]$ to a co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ under $B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, with the property that* $\dim P_0 \mathcal{M} = m$, *where P_0 is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ onto the subspace $1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from [1]. To prove (ii), let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Hilbert space such that $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ under $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and such that $T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|\mathcal{H}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* &= P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} \left[\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^* \right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] |\mathcal{H} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J} (I - \sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^*) |\mathcal{N}_J \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] |\mathcal{H} \\ &= P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J} P_{\mathbb{C}} |\mathcal{N}_J \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] |\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T \leq \dim \mathcal{D}$. Using (i), we deduce that the dilation index of T is equal to $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T$.

Assume now that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.5, we obtain $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $|\alpha| \geq 1$. On the other hand, the definition of the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{J,T}$ implies

$$P_0 K_{J,T} h = \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_T T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $P_0 := P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}$. Therefore, $P_0 K_{J,T}\mathcal{H} = \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}$. Using Corollary 1.6 in the particular case when $\mathcal{M} := K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D} := \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}$, we deduce that $K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ is cyclic for $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, which proves the minimality of the dilation (2.15), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}} = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}) K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}. \tag{2.17}$$

Now we assume that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and that relation (2.16) holds. Consider another minimal dilation of T, i.e.,

$$T_i = V^*(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})V, \tag{2.18}$$

where $V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is an isometry, $V\mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant under $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D} = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})V\mathcal{H}. \tag{2.19}$$

We know (see [1]) that there exists a unital completely positive linear map

$$\Phi : \text{span}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\Phi(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Due to (2.16), Φ has a unique extension to $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. Consider the $*$-representations

$$\pi_1 : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}), \quad \pi_1(X) := X \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}$$
$$\pi_2 : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}), \quad \pi_2(X) := X \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

It is easy to see that due to relations (2.15), (2.18), (2.16), and the co-invariance of the subspaces $K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ and $V\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\Phi(X) = K_{J,T}^* \pi_1(X) K_{J,T} = V^* \pi_2(X) V, \quad X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n).$$

Now, due to the minimality conditions (2.17) and (2.19), and relation (2.16), we deduce that π_1 and π_2 are minimal Stinespring dilations of Φ. Since they are unique, there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$U(B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}) = (B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})U, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.20}$$

and $UK_{J,T} = V$. Hence, we also have

$$U(B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}) = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})U, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

By Theorem 1.3, $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is irreducible, so we must have $U = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes W$, where $W \in B(\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{D})$ is a unitary operator. Therefore, $\dim \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{D}$ and $UK_{J,T}\mathcal{H} = V\mathcal{H}$, which proves that the two dilations are unitarily equivalent.

In the particular case when $J = \{0\}$, part (iv) shows that

$$S := [S_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}, \ldots, S_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}]$$

is a realization of the standard minimal isometric dilation of $[T_1, \ldots, T_n]$. Using Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 2.9, one can easily see that the maximal J-constrained piece of S coincides with $[B_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}, \ldots, B_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}}}]$, which proves (v).

Now, we prove (vi). The implication " $\Longrightarrow$ " follows from part (i). Conversely, assume that

$$T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m})|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with $\dim P_0\mathcal{H} = m$ (recall $P_0 := P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$). It is clear that $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n]$ is a pure J-constrained row contraction. Consider first the case when $m < \infty$. Since $P_0\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\dim P_0\mathcal{H} = m$, we deduce that $P_0\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^m$. By Corollary 1.6, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^\perp \cap \mathbb{C}^m = \{0\}. \tag{2.21}$$

On the other hand, since $I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^* = P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank} \Delta_T &= \operatorname{rank} P_{\mathcal{H}} \left[\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{i=1}^n B_i B_i^* \right) \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m} \right] |\mathcal{H} \\ &= \operatorname{rank} P_{\mathcal{H}} P_0 |\mathcal{H} = \dim P_{\mathcal{H}} P_0 \mathcal{H} \\ &= \dim P_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{C}^m. \end{aligned}$$

If $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T < m$, then there exists a nonzero vector $h \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $P_{\mathcal{H}} h = 0$, which contradicts relation (2.21). Therefore, we must have $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T = m$.

Now, we consider the case $m = \infty$. According to Theorem 1.5, setting $\mathcal{E} := P_0\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m})\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E},$$

which is reducing for $B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore,

$$T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Using the uniqueness of the minimal dilation of T (see (iv)), we deduce that

$$\dim \overline{\Delta_T \mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{E} = \infty.$$

The proof is complete. □

An important question remains open. Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by a family $\mathcal{P}_J$ of homogeneous polynomials such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and condition (2.14) holds. If $[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ is a arbitrary J-constrained row contraction, is it true that the minimal dilation provided by Theorem 2.4 is the maximal J-constrained piece of the standard noncommutative isometric dilation of T ? We should mention that the answer to this question is positive in the commutative case, i.e., when $\mathcal{P}_J := \{S_i S_j - S_j S_i : \ i, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ (see [8]).

Under certain natural conditions on the ideal J, we can characterize the pure J-constrained row contractions of rank one.

Corollary 2.11. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and condition (2.16) is satisfied. If $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_1, \dots B_n$, then the n-tuple*

$$T := [T_1, \dots, T_n], \quad T_i := P_{\mathcal{M}} B_i |\mathcal{M}, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

is a pure J-constrained row contraction such that $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T = 1$.

If $\mathcal{M}'$ is another co-invariant subspace for $B_1, \dots, B_n$, which gives rise to a row contraction T', then T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}'$.

Every pure constrained row contraction with $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_T = 1$ *is unitarily equivalent to one obtained by compressing $[B_1, \dots, B_n]$ to a co-invariant subspace for $B_1, \dots, B_n$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}\subset \mathcal{N}_J$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_1,\dots B_n$, we have

$$f(T_1,\dots,T_n)=P_{\mathcal{M}}f(B_1,\dots,B_n)|\mathcal{M}=0,\quad f\in J,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\mathcal{M}}-\sum_{i=1}^n T_iT_i^* &= P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}-\sum_{i=1}^n B_iB_i^*\right)|\mathcal{M}\\ &= P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}|\mathcal{M}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ is a constrained row contraction with $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T\leq 1$. On the other hand, since

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k}T_\alpha T_\alpha^*=P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}B_\alpha B_\alpha^*\right)|\mathcal{M},\quad k=1,2,\dots,$$

and $[B_1,\dots,B_n]$ is a pure row contraction, we deduce that $[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ is pure. This also implies that $\Delta_T\neq 0$, so $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T\geq 1$. Consequently, we have $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T=1$.

To prove the second part of this corollary, notice that, as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 part (iv), one can show that T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if there exists a unitary operator $\Lambda:\mathcal{N}_J\to\mathcal{N}_J$ such that

$$\Lambda B_i=B_i\Lambda,\quad \text{for } i=1,\dots,n,\quad \text{and}\quad \Lambda\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}'.$$

This implies that Λ commutes with $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ which, due to Theorem 1.3, is irreducible. Therefore, Λ must be a scalar multiple of the identity. Hence, we have $\mathcal{M}=\Lambda\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}'$.

Finally, the last part of this corollary follows from Theorem 2.4 and Corollay 2.6. □

Remark 2.12. *In the particular case when $n=1$, the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ can be identified with the classical Hardy algebra H^∞. Every w^*-closed ideal of H^∞ has the form $J=\varphi H^\infty$, where $\varphi\in H^\infty$ is a inner function. In this case*

$$\mathcal{N}_J=H^2\ominus\varphi H^2=\ker\varphi(S)^*.$$

If $T\in B(\mathcal{H})$ is a c.n.u. contraction such that $\varphi(T)=0$, then T is pure contraction (see [38]*). According to Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta\mathcal{H}}$ under S, and*

$$T=P_{\mathcal{H}}(B\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}})|\mathcal{H},$$

where $B:=P_{\ker\varphi(S)^*}S|\ker\varphi(S)^*$ and S is the unilateral shift on the Hardy space H^2.

3. CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS FOR ROW CONTRACTIONS AND FACTORIZATIONS

The purpose of this section is to provide new properties for the *standard characteristic function* associated with an arbitrary row contraction and show that

$$I-\Theta_T\Theta_T^*=K_TK_T^*,$$

where K_T is the Poisson kernel of T. Consequently, we will show that the curvature invariant and Euler characteristic asssociated with a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ generated by an arbitrary row contraction T can be expressed only in terms of the characteristic function of T.

The characteristic function associated with an arbitrary row contraction $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, was introduce in [22] (see [38] for the classical case $n=1$) and it was proved to be a complete unitary invariant for completely non-coisometric (c.n.c.) row contractions.

Using the characterization of multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces (see [27], [31]), one can easily see that the characteristic function of T is a multi-analytic operator

$$\Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n) : F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \to F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{D}_T$$

with the formal Fourier representation

$$-I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes T + \left(I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes \Delta_T\right)\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n R_i\otimes T_i^*\right)^{-1} \left[R_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\ldots,R_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left(I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes \Delta_{T^*}\right),$$

where $R_1,\ldots,R_n$ are the right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Here, we need to clarify some notations since some of them are different from those considered in [22]. The defect operators associated with a row contraction $T := [T_1,\ldots,T_n]$ are

$$\Delta_T := \left(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n T_iT_i^*\right)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{T^*} := (I - T^*T)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}^{(n)}),$$

while the defect spaces are $\mathcal{D}_T := \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{T^*} := \overline{\Delta_{T^*}\mathcal{H}^{(n)}}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(n)}$ denotes the direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{H}$. In what follows we need the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *If* $\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)\in R_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$, *then*

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^* = \Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*.$$

Proof. We know that any multi-analytic operator $\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)$ with formal Fourier representation

$$\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)\sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} R_\alpha\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)}, \qquad \theta_{(\alpha)}\in B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K}),$$

has the property that

$$\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|}R_\alpha\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)},$$

where the series converges in the uniform norm for each $r\in(0,1)$. Now, note that for every $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $h\in\mathcal{H}$, and $g\in F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*(e_\beta\otimes h), g\rangle &= \langle e_\beta\otimes h, \Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)g\rangle\\ &= \left\langle e_\beta\otimes h, \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha|\leq|\beta|} R_\alpha\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)}\right) g\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha|\leq|\beta|} R_\alpha^*\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)}^*\right)(e_\beta\otimes h), g\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*(e_\beta\otimes h) = \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha|\leq|\beta|} R_\alpha^*\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)}^*\right)(e_\beta\otimes h).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*(e_\beta\otimes h)=\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\alpha|\leq|\beta|} r^{|\alpha|}R_\alpha^*\otimes\theta_{(\alpha)}^*\right)(e_\beta\otimes h).$$

Using the last two equalities, we obtain

$$\lim_{r\to 1}\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*(e_\beta\otimes h)=\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*(e_\beta\otimes h)$$

for any $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $h\in\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, according to the noncommutative von Neumann inequality,

$$\|\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\|\leq\|\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*\|\quad\text{for any }\ r\in(0,1).$$

Hence, and due to the fact that the closed span of all vectors $e_\alpha\otimes h$ with $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $h\in\mathcal{H}$, coincides with $F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}$, we deduce (using standard arguments) that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*=\Theta(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*.$$

The proof is complete. □

The following factorization result will play an important role in our investigation.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T:=[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction. Then*

$$I-\Theta_T\Theta_T^*=K_TK_T^*, \tag{3.1}$$

where Θ_T is the characteristic function of T and K_T is the corresponding Poisson kernel.

Proof. Denoting $\tilde{T}:=[I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes T_1,\ldots,I_{F^2(H_n)}\otimes T_n]$ and $\hat{R}:=[R_1\otimes I_\mathcal{H},\ldots,R_n\otimes I_\mathcal{H}]$, the characteristic function of T has the representation

$$\Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n)=\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\left[-\tilde{T}+\Delta_{\tilde{T}}\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}-r\hat{R}\tilde{T}^*\right)^{-1}r\hat{R}\Delta_{\tilde{T}^*}\right]. \tag{3.2}$$

Define the operators

$$A:=\tilde{T}^*,\ B:=\Delta_{\tilde{T}^*},\ C:=\Delta_{\tilde{T}},\ D:=-\tilde{T},\ \text{ and }\ Z:=r\hat{R},\ 0<r<1,.$$

and notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix}A&B\\C&D\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{T}^*&\Delta_{\tilde{T}^*}\\\Delta_{\tilde{T}}&-\tilde{T}\end{pmatrix}$$

is a unitary operator. Therefore,

$$AA^*+BB^*=I,\ CC^*+DD^*=I,\ \text{and}\ \ AC^*+BD^*=0. \tag{3.3}$$

Define

$$\Phi(Z):=D+C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZB$$

and notice that using relation (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I-\Phi(Z)\Phi(Z)^* &= I-DD^*-C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZBD^*-DB^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\
&\quad -C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZBB^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\
&= CC^*+C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZAC^*+CA^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\
&\quad -C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZZ^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\
&\quad +C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZAA^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\
&= C(I-ZA)^{-1}\left[(I-ZA)(I-A^*Z^*)+ZA(I-A^*Z^*)\right.\\
&\quad \left.+(I-ZA)A^*Z^*-ZZ^*+ZAA^*Z^*\right](I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\
&= C(I-ZA)^{-1}(I-ZZ^*)(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$I-\Phi(Z)\Phi(Z)^*=C(I-ZA)^{-1}(I-ZZ^*)(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, according to our notations, for any $r\in(0,1)$, the defect operator

$$I-\Theta_T(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\Theta_T(rR_1.\ldots,rR_n)^*$$

is equal to the product

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{\tilde{T}}(I-r\hat{R}\tilde{T}^*)^{-1}(I-r^2\hat{R}\hat{R}^*)(I-r\tilde{T}\hat{R}^*)^{-1}\Delta_{\tilde{T}}\\
&\quad=(I\otimes\Delta_T)\left(I-r\sum_{i=1}^{n}R_i\otimes T_i^*\right)^{-1}\left[\left(I-r^2\sum_{i=1}^{*}R_iR_i^*\right)\otimes I\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\left(I-r\sum_{i=1}^{n}R_i^*\otimes T_i\right)^{-1}(I\otimes\Delta_T)\\
&\quad=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\gamma|=k}r^{|\gamma|}R_\gamma\otimes\Delta_T T_{\tilde{\gamma}}^*\right)\left[\left(I-r^2\sum_{i=1}^{n}R_iR_i^*\right)\otimes I\right]\left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\beta|=p}r^{|\beta|}R_\beta^*\otimes T_{\tilde{\beta}}\Delta_T\right)\\
&\quad=\sum_{k,p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\gamma|=k,|\beta|=p}r^{|\gamma|+|\beta|}R_\gamma\left(I-r^2\sum_{i=1}^{n}R_iR_i^*\right)R_\beta^*\otimes\Delta_T T_{\tilde{\gamma}}^*T_{\tilde{\beta}}\Delta_T.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for every $\alpha,\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $h\in\mathcal{D}_T$, $k\in\mathcal{D}_T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle[I-\Theta_T(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\Theta_T(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*](e_\alpha\otimes h),e_\omega\otimes k\rangle\\
&\quad=\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\gamma|\le|\omega|}\ \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\beta|\le|\alpha|}\left\langle r^{|\gamma|+|\beta|}R_\gamma\left(I-r^2\sum_{i=1}^{n}R_iR_i^*\right)R_\beta^*e_\alpha,e_\omega\right\rangle\left\langle\Delta_T T_{\tilde{\gamma}}^*T_{\tilde{\beta}}\Delta_T h,k\right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to1}\Theta_T(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*=\Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*.$$

Therefore, the above computations imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle [I-\Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n)\ \Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*](e_\alpha\otimes h), e_\omega\otimes k\rangle\\
&\qquad\qquad= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\gamma|\leq|\omega|}\ \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\beta|\leq|\alpha|} \left\langle R_\gamma P_{\mathbb{C}} R_\beta^* e_\alpha, e_\omega\right\rangle \left\langle \Delta_T T_{\tilde\gamma}^* T_{\tilde\beta}\Delta_T h, k\right\rangle\\
&\qquad\qquad= \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\gamma|\leq|\omega|} \langle R_\gamma(1), e_\omega\rangle \left\langle \Delta_T T_{\tilde\gamma}^* T_\alpha \Delta_T h, k\right\rangle\\
&\qquad\qquad= \langle \Delta_T T_\omega^* T_\alpha\Delta_T h, k\rangle\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the fact that $P_{\mathbb{C}} R_\beta^* e_\alpha\neq 0$ if and only if $\beta=\tilde\alpha$ (recall that $\tilde\alpha$ is the reverse of α), and that $R_\gamma(1)=\tilde\gamma$. On the other hand, using the definition of the Poisson kernel associated with a row contraction, we deduce that $K_T^*(e_\alpha\otimes h)=T_\alpha\Delta_T$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle K_T K_T^*(e_\alpha\otimes h), e_\omega\otimes k\rangle &= \langle K_T T_\alpha\Delta_T h, e_\omega\otimes k\rangle\\
&= \left\langle \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} e_\gamma\otimes \Delta_T T_\gamma^* T_\alpha\Delta h, e_\omega\otimes k\right\rangle\\
&= \langle \Delta_T T_\omega^* T_\alpha\Delta_T h, e_\omega\otimes k\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h,k\in\mathcal{D}_T$ and $\alpha,\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Summing up the above computations, we deduce that

$$I-\Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n)\Theta_T(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*=K_TK_T^*,$$

which completes the proof. ☐

We recall that the spectral radius of an n-tuple of operators $X:=[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$ is defined by

$$r(X):=\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|=k} X_\alpha X_\alpha^*\right\|^{1/2k}.$$

A closer look at the proof of Theorem 3.2 reveals the following factorization result. We should add that the operator $I-\hat X\tilde T^*$ is invertible because $r(X)<1$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $T:=[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction and let Θ_T be its characteristic function. If $X:=[X_1,\ldots,X_n]$, $X_i\in B(\mathcal{K})$, is a row contraction with spectral radius $r(X)<1$, then*

$$I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}-\Theta_T(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\Theta_T(X_1,\ldots,X_n)^*=\Delta_{\tilde T}(I-\hat X\tilde T^*)^{-1}(I-\hat X\hat X^*)(I-\tilde T\hat X^*)^{-1}\Delta_{\tilde T},$$

where $\hat X:=[X_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\ldots,X_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]$ and the other notations are from the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Let $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the complex free semigroup algebra generated by the free semigroup $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ with generators $g_1,\ldots,g_n$ and neutral element g_0. Any n-tuple $T_1,\ldots,T_n$ of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ gives rise to a Hilbert (left) module over $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$ in the natural way

$$f\cdot h:=f(T_1,\ldots,T_n)h,\quad f\in\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+, h\in\mathcal{H}.$$

We say that $\mathcal{H}$ is a contractive $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{F}_n^+$-module if $T:=[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$ is a row contraction, which is equivalent to

$$\|g_1\cdot h_1+\cdots+g_n\cdot h_n\|^2\leq\|h_1\|^2+\cdots+\|h_n\|^2,\quad h_1,\ldots,h_n\in\mathcal{H}.$$

We say that $\mathcal{H}$ is of finite rank if $\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{H}) := \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T < \infty$. The curvature invariant and Euler characteristic associated with an arbitrary row contraction T (or the Hilbert module $\mathcal{H}$ associated with T) were introduced and studied in [32] and [15]. We recall that

$$\operatorname{curv}(T) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_T^m(I)]}{1+n+\cdots+n^{m-1}}$$

and

$$\chi(T) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{rank}[I - \Phi_T^m(I)]}{1+n+\cdots+n^{m-1}},$$

where Φ_T is the completely positive map associated with T, i.e., $\Phi_T(X) := \sum_{i=1}^n T_i X T_i^*$.

Using Theorem 3.2 and some results from [32], we can show that the curvature and the Euler characteristic of a row contraction T can be expressed only in terms of the standard characteristic function Θ_T.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction with $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T < \infty$, and let $\operatorname{curv}(T)$ and $\chi(T)$ denote its curvature and Euler characteristic, respectively. Then*

$$\operatorname{curv}(T) = \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T - \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}[\Theta_T\Theta_T^*(P_m \otimes I)]}{n^m}$$

and

$$\chi(T) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{rank}[(I - \Theta_T\Theta_T^*)(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)]}{1+n+\cdots+n^{m-1}},$$

where P_m (resp. $P_{\leq m}$) is the orthogonal projection of the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ onto the subspace of all homogeneous polynomials of degree m (resp. polynomials of degree $\leq m$).

Proof. According to Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.7 from [32], we have

$$\operatorname{curv}(T) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}[K_T K_T^*(P_m \otimes I)]}{n^m}.$$

Using the factorization result of Theorem 3.2, the first result follows.

Now, according to Theorem 4.1 of [32], we have

$$\chi(T) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{rank}[(K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)K_T]}{1+n+\cdots+n^{m-1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)$ has finite rank, we have

$$\operatorname{rank}[(K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)K_T] = \operatorname{rank}[K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)].$$

On the other hand, since K_T is one-to-one on the range of $K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)$, we also have

$$\operatorname{rank}[K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)] = \operatorname{rank}[K_T K_T^*(P_{\leq m} \otimes I)].$$

Hence, using relation (3.5) and Theorem 3.2, we complete the proof. □

4. Characteristic functions and models for constrained row contractions

In this section, a *constrained characteristic function* is associated with any constrained row contraction. For *pure constrained* row contractions, we show that this characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant and provide a model in terms of it. We also show that Arveson's curvature invariant and Euler characteristic asssociated with a Hilbert module over $C[z_1,\dots,z_n]$ generated by a commuting row contraction T can be expressed only in terms of the constrained characteristic function of T.

Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J$. We define the *constrained characteristic function* associated with a J-constrained row contraction $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, to be the multi-analytic operator (with respect to the constrained shifts $B_1,\dots,B_n$)

$$\Theta_{J,T}(W_1,\dots,W_n):\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{T^*}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T$$

defined by the formal Fourier representation

$$-I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes T+(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\Delta_T)\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{i=1}^n W_i\otimes T_i^*\right)^{-1}[W_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\dots,W_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]\,(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\Delta_{T^*}).$$

Taking into account that $\mathcal{N}_J$ is a co-invariant subspace under $R_1,\dots,R_n$, we can see that $\Theta_{J,T}$ is the *maximal J-constrained piece* of the standard characteristic function Θ_T of the row contraction T. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{gathered}\Theta_T(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T)\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{T^*}\quad\text{and}\\ P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}\Theta_T(R_1,\dots,R_n)|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{T^*}=\Theta_{J,T}(W_1,\dots,W_n).\end{gathered}\tag{4.1}$$

Let us remark that the above definition of the constrained characteristic function makes sense (and has the same properties) when J is an arbitrary WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$ is an arbitrary c.n.c. J-constrained row contraction.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $J\neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J$. Let $T:=[T_1,\dots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a J-constrained row contraction. Then*

$$I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}-\Theta_{J,T}\Theta_{J,T}^*=K_{J,T}K_{J,T}^*,\tag{4.2}$$

where $\Theta_{J,T}$ is the constrained characteristic function of T and $K_{J,T}$ is the corresponding constrained Poisson kernel.

Proof. The constrained Poisson kernel associated with T is $K_{J,T}:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$K_{J,T}:=(P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}})K_T,\tag{4.3}$$

where K_T is the standard Poisson kernel of T. According to the proof of Theorem 2.1 $\text{range}\,K_T\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$. Using Theorem 3.2 and taking the compression of relation (3.1) to the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T\subset F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{D}_T$, we obtain

$$I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}-P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}\Theta_T(R_1,\dots,R_n)\Theta_T(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T=P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}K_TK_T^*|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T.$$

Taking into account relations (4.1), (4.3), and that $W_i^*=R_i^*|\mathcal{N}_J$, $i=1,\dots,n$, we infer that

$$I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_T}-\Theta_{J,T}(W_1,\dots,W_n)\Theta_{J,T}(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*=K_{J,T}K_{J,T}^*.$$

□

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, one can use Corollary 3.3 to obtain the following constrained version of it.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J$ and let $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a J-constrained row contraction. If $X := [X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, $X_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$, is a J-constrained row contraction with spectral radius $r(X) < 1$, then*

$$I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{D}_T} - \Theta_{J,T}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\Theta_{J,T}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)^* = \Delta_{\tilde{T}}(I - \hat{X}\tilde{T}^*)^{-1}(I - \hat{X}\hat{X}^*)(I - \tilde{T}\hat{X}^*)^{-1}\Delta_{\tilde{T}},$$

where $\hat{X} := [X_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}, \ldots, X_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]$ and the other notations are from the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Now we present a model for pure constrained row contractions in terms of characteristic functions.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$ be a pure J-constrained row contraction. Then the constrained characteristic function $\Theta_{J,T} \in \mathcal{W}(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{D}_{T^*}, \mathcal{D}_T)$ is a partial isometry and T is unitarily equivalent to the row contraction*

$$\left[P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T}}(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})|\mathbb{H}_{J,T}, \ldots, P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T}}(B_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})|\mathbb{H}_{J,T}\right], \tag{4.4}$$

where $P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_T$ on the Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{H}_{J,T} := (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_T) \ominus \Theta_{J,T}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*}).$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.10, the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{J,T} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ is an isometry, $K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$T_i = K_{J,T}^*(B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}})K_{J,T}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{4.5}$$

Consequently, $K_{J,T}K_{J,T}^*$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}$ onto $K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$. According to Theorem 4.1, relation (4.2) shows that $K_{J,T}K_{J,T}^*$ and $\Theta_{J,T}\Theta_{J,T}^*$ are mutually orthogonal projections such that

$$K_{J,T}K_{J,T}^* + \Theta_{J,T}\Theta_{J,T}^* = I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}}}.$$

Therefore,

$$K_{J,T}\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_T) \ominus \Theta_{J,T}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*}),$$

Now, since $K_{J,T}$ is an isometry, we identify $\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathbb{H}_{J,T} := K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ and, using (4.5), we deduce that T is unitarily equivalent to the row contraction given by (4.4). This completes the proof. □

Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{W}(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ and $\Phi' \in \mathcal{W}(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1', \mathcal{K}_2')$ be two multi-analytic operators with respect to $B_1, \ldots, B_n$. We say that Φ and Φ' coincide if there are two unitary multi-analytic operators $U_j : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_1 \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "U_1"] & \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_2 \arrow[d, "U_2"] \\
\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_1' \arrow[r, "\Phi'"] & \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_2'
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, i.e., $\Phi' U_1 = U_2 \Phi$. Since

$$U_j(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1}) = (B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1'})U_j, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

and U_j are unitary operators, we also deduce that

$$U_j(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1}) = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1'})U_j, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Taking into account that $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is irreducible (see Theorem 1.3), we conclude that

$$U_j = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau_j, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

for some unitary operators $\tau_j \in B(\mathcal{K}_j, \mathcal{K}'_j)$.

The next result shows that the constrained characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant for pure constrained row contractions.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and let $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, and $T' := [T'_1, \ldots, T'_n]$, $T'_i \in B(\mathcal{H}')$, be two J-constrained pure row contractions. Then T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if their constrained characteristic functions $\Theta_{J,T}$ and $\Theta_{J,T'}$ coincide.*

Proof. Assume that T and T' are unitarily equivalent and let $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$ be a unitary operator such that $T_i = U^* T'_i U$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Simple computations reveal that

$$U\Delta_T = \Delta_{T'} U \quad \text{ and } \quad (\oplus_{i=1}^n U)\Delta_{T^*} = \Delta_{T'^*}(\oplus_{i=1}^n U).$$

Define the unitary operators τ and τ' by setting

$$\tau := U|\mathcal{D}_T : \mathcal{D}_T \to \mathcal{D}_{T'} \quad \text{ and } \quad \tau' := (\oplus_{i=1}^n U)|\mathcal{D}_{T^*} : \mathcal{D}_{T*} \to \mathcal{D}_{T'^*}.$$

Taking into account the definition of the constrained characteristic function, it is easy to see that

$$(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau)\Theta_{J,T} = \Theta_{J,T'}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau').$$

Conversely, assume that the characteristic functions of T and T' coincide. According to the remarks preceding the theorem, there exist unitary operators $\tau : \mathcal{D}_T \to \mathcal{D}_{T'}$ and $\tau_* : \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \to \mathcal{D}_{T'^*}$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \arrow[r, "\Phi_{J,T}"] \arrow[d, "I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau_*"] & \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_T \arrow[d, "I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau"] \\
\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T'^*} \arrow[r, "\Phi_{J,T'}"] & \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T'}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, i.e.,

$$(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau)\Phi_{J,T} = \Phi_{J,T'}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau_*). \tag{4.6}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\Gamma := (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau)|\mathbb{H}_{J,T} : \mathbb{H}_{J,T} \to \mathbb{H}_{J,T'}$$

is a unitary operator, where $\mathbb{H}_{J,T}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{J,T'}$ are the model spaces for T and T', respectively (see Theorem 4.3). Since

$$(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau^*) = (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau^*)(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{T'}}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

and $\mathbb{H}_{J,T}$ (resp. $\mathbb{H}_{J,T'}$) is a co-invariant subspace under $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T}$ (resp. $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{T'}}$), $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that

$$[(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})|\mathbb{H}_{J,T}]\, \Gamma^* = \Gamma^* \left[(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{T'}})|\mathbb{H}_{J,T'}\right], \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\Gamma \left[P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T}} \left(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T}\right) |\mathbb{H}_{J,T}\right] = \left[P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T'}} \left(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{T'}}\right) |\mathbb{H}_{J,T'}\right] \Gamma, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Now, using Theorem 4.3, we conclude that T and T' are unitarily equivalent. The proof is complete. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and condition* (2.14) *is satisfied. If $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace under $B_1, \dots, B_n$, and*

$$T := [T_1, \dots, T_n], \quad T_i := P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} B_i | \mathcal{M}^\perp, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

then

$$\mathcal{M} = \Theta_{J,T} \left(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \right),$$

where $\Theta_{J,T}$ is the constrained characteristic function of T.

Proof. According to Corollary 2.11, T is a pure J-constrained row contraction with rank $\Delta_T = 1$. Therefore, we can identify the subspace $\mathcal{D}_T$ with $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, and due to Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\mathbb{H}_{J,T} = \mathcal{N}_J \ominus \Theta_{J,T} \left(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \right)$$

and T is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left[P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T}} B_1 | \mathbb{H}_{J,T}, \dots, P_{\mathbb{H}_{J,T}} B_n | \mathbb{H}_{J,T} \right].$$

Using again Corollary 2.11, we deduce that $\mathbb{H}_{J,T} = \mathcal{M}^\perp$ and therefore $\mathcal{M} = \Theta_{J,T} \left(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \right)$. This completes the proof. ☐

Remark 4.6. *All the results of this section apply, in particular, to the cases discussed in Example 2.7.*

The commutative case. As mentioned in Example 2.7, if

$$\mathcal{P}_{J_c} := \{ S_i S_j - S_j S_i : \; i, j = 1, \dots, n \},$$

then $\mathcal{N}_{J_c} = F_s^2$, the symmetric Fock space, and $B_i := P_{F_s^2} S_i |_{F_s^2}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the creation operators on the symmetric Fock space. Arveson showed in [4] that F_s^2 can be identified with his space H^2, of analytic functions in $\mathbb{B}_n$, namely, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_n : \mathbb{B}_n \times \mathbb{B}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_n(z, w) := \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, w \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}}, \qquad z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

The algebra $W_n^\infty := P_{F_s^2} F_n^\infty |_{F_s^2}$, was proved to be the w^*-closed algebra generated by the operators $B_i, \; i = 1, \dots, n$, and the identity (see [1]). Moreover, Arveson showed in [4] that W_n^∞ can be identified with the algebra of all multipliers of H^2. Under this identification the creation operators $B_1, \dots, B_n$ become the multiplication operators $M_{z_1}, \dots, M_{z_n}$ by the coordinate functions $z_1, \dots, z_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $T := [T_1, \dots, T_n], \; T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a J_c-constrained row contraction, i.e.,

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Under the above-mentioned identifications, the constrained characteristic function of T is the multiplier (multiplication operator) $\Theta_{J_c,T} : H^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*} \to H^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_T$ defined by the operator-valued analytic function on the open unit ball

$$\mathbb{B}_n := \{ z := (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}_n : \; |z| = (|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2)^{1/2} < 1 \},$$

given by

$$\Theta_{J_c,T}(z) := -T + \Delta_T (I - z_1 T_1^* - \cdots - z_n T_n^*)^{-1} [z_1 I_{\mathcal{H}}, \dots, z_n I_{\mathcal{H}}] \Delta_{T^*}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

For sake of simplicity, we are going to use the same notation for the multiplication operator $M_{\Theta_{J_c,T}} \in B(H^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_{T^*}, H^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_T)$ and its symbol $\Theta_{J_c,T}$, which is a $B(\mathcal{D}_{T^*}, \mathcal{D}_T)$-valued bounded analytic function in $\mathbb{B}_n$.

All the results of this section can be written in this commutative setting.

Using Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 (in the commutative case) and some results from [32], we show that Arveson's curvature and Euler characteristic associated with a commutative row contraction T with $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T < \infty$ can be expressed in terms of the constrained characteristic function $\Theta_{J_c,T}$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let* $T := [T_1, \ldots, T_n]$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, *be a commutative row contraction with* $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_T < \infty$, *and let* $K(T)$ *and* $\chi(T)$ *denote Arveson's curvature and Euler characteristic, respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} K(T) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{B}_n} \lim_{r\to 1} \operatorname{trace}\,[I - \Theta_{J_c,T}(r\xi)\Theta_{J_c,T}(r\xi)^*]d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \operatorname{rank}\Delta_T - (n-1)!\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[\Theta_{J_c,T}\Theta_{J_c,T}^*(Q_m\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})]}{n^m}, \end{aligned}$$

where Q_m *is the projection of* H^2 *onto the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree* m, *and*

$$\chi(T) = n!\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{rank}\left[\left(I - \Theta_{J_c,T}\Theta_{J_c,T}^*\right)(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_T})\right]}{m^n},$$

where $Q_{\leq m}$ *is the projection of* H^2 *onto the subspace of all polynomials of degree* $\leq m$.

Proof. Using the factorization result of Corollary 4.2 in our particular case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &I - \Theta_{J_c,T}(z)\Theta_{J_c,T}(z)^* \\ &\qquad = (1-|z|^2)\Delta_T(I - z_1T_1^* - \cdots - z_nT_n^*)^{-1}(I - \overline{z}_1T_1 - \cdots - \overline{z}_nT_n)^{-1}\Delta_T \end{aligned}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

The first formula follows from the definition of the curvature [5] and the above-mentioned factorization for the constrained characteristic function of T. Using Corollary 2.4. and Corollary 2.8 from [32], we have

$$K(T) = (n-1)!\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[(P_m\otimes I)K_TK_T^*(P_m\otimes I)]}{m^{n-1}},$$

where K_T is the Poisson kernel of T and P_m is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto the subspace of all homogeneous polynomials of degree m. Since T is a commutative row contraction, i.e., J_c-constrained, we have $\operatorname{range} K_T \subset F_s^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_T$ and the constrained Poisson kernel satisfies the equation $K_{J_c,T} = (P_{F_s^2}\otimes I)K_T$, where F_s^2 is the symmetric Fock space. Using the standard properties for the *trace* and the above relation, we deduce that

$$K(T) = (n-1)!\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[K_{J_c,T}K_{J_c,T}^*(Q_m\otimes I)]}{m^{n-1}}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $Q_m := P_{F_s^2}P_m|F_s^2$ is the projection of F_s^2 onto the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree m. According to Theorem 4.1, we have

$$I - \Theta_{J_c,T}\Theta_{J_c,T}^* = K_{J_c,T}K_{J_c,T}^*. \tag{4.8}$$

Taking onto account relations (4.7) and (4.8), we deduce the second formula for the curvarure. Here, of course, we used Arveson's identification of the symmetric Fock space F_s^2 with his space H^2.

Arveson [5] showed that his Euler characteristic satisfies the equation

$$\chi(T) = n!\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{rank}\,[I - \Phi_T^{m+1}(I)]}{m^n},$$

where Φ_T is the completely positive map associated with T. We proved in [32] (see Corollary 4.3) that

$$\chi(T) = n! \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{rank}[K_T^*(P_{\leq m}\otimes I)K_T]}{m^n}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $P_{\leq m}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ on the subspace of all polynomials of degree $\leq m$. Using again that $\operatorname{range} K_T \subset F_s^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}_T$ and the constrained Poisson kernel satisfies the equation $K_{J_c,T} = (P_{F_s^2}\otimes I)K_T$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{rank}[K_T^*(P_{\leq m}\otimes I)K_T] &= \operatorname{rank}[K_T^*(P_{F_s^2}\otimes I)(P_{\leq m}\otimes I)P_{F_s^2}\otimes I)K_T]\\ &= \operatorname{rank}\left[K_{J_c,T}^*(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I)K_{J_c,T}\right]\\ &= \operatorname{rank}\left[K_{J_c,T}^*(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I)\right]\\ &= \operatorname{rank}\left[K_{J_c,Y}K_{J_c,T}^*(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_{\leq m}$ is the projection of F_s^2 onto the subspace of all polynomials of degree $\leq m$. The last two equalities hold since the operator $K_{J_c,T}^*(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I)$ has finite rank and $K_{J_c,T}$ is one-to-one on the range of $K_{J_c,T}^*(Q_{\leq m}\otimes I)$. Now, using relation (4.9), the above equalities, and the factorization (4.8), we obtain the last formula of the theorem. The proof is complete. □

5. Commutant lifting theorem for constrained row contractions

In this section we provide a Sarason [36] type commutant lifting theorem for pure constrained row contractions and obtain a Nevanlinna-Pick [18] interpolation result in our setting. Let $[T_1,\ldots,T_n]$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a pure row contraction, and let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ such that

$$\varphi(T_1,\ldots,T_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \varphi(S_1,\ldots,S_n)\in J, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\varphi(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ is defined using the F_n^∞-functional calculus for row contractions. According to Section 2, any pure constrained row contraction is unitarily equivalent to the compression of $[B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}},\ldots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}]$ to a co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{E}$ under each operator $B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Therefore, we have

$$T_i = P_{\mathcal{E}}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})|\mathcal{E}, \quad i=1,\ldots,n.$$

The following result is a commutant lifting theorem for pure constrained row contractions.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $J\neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ and let $[B_1,\ldots,B_n]$ and $[W_1,\ldots,W_n]$ be the corresponding constrained shifts acting on $\mathcal{N}_J$. For each $j=1,2$, let $\mathcal{K}_j$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{E}_j\subseteq \mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$ be a co-invariant subspace under each operator $B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. If $X:\mathcal{E}_1\to\mathcal{E}_2$ is a bounded operator such that*

$$X[P_{\mathcal{E}_1}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1}] = [P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})]|_{\mathcal{E}_2}X, \quad i=1,\ldots,n, \tag{5.2}$$

then there exists

$$G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in\mathcal{W}(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)$$

such that $G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^*\mathcal{E}_2\subseteq\mathcal{E}_1$,

$$G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2 = X^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \|G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\| = \|X\|.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{E}_j := \mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a co-invariant subspace under each operator B_i and W_i, $i=1,\ldots,n$, then the above implication becomes an equivalence.

Proof. According to Lemma 1.1, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$ is invariant under each operator $S_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j},\ i=1,\dots,n$, and

$$(S_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_j=B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j},\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_j\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$ is invariant under $B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$ it is also invariant under $S_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$ and

$$(S_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j=(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j,\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Hence, relation (5.2) implies

$$XP_{\mathcal{E}_1}(S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1}=P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(S_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{E}_2}X,\quad i=1,\dots,n. \tag{5.3}$$

For each $j=1,2$, the n-tuple $[S_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j},\dots,S_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}]$ is an isometric dilation of the row contraction

$$[P_{\mathcal{E}_j}(S_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j,\dots,P_{\mathcal{E}_j}(S_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j].$$

Applying the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem ([21], [24]), we find a multi-analytic operator $\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)\in R_n^\infty\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)$ such that $\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*\mathcal{E}_2\subseteq\mathcal{E}_1$,

$$\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2=X^*,\qquad\text{and}\qquad\|\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)\|=\|X\|. \tag{5.4}$$

Let $G(W_1,\dots,W_n):=P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_2}\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_1$. According to the remarks preceding Theorem 1.2, we have

$$G(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in[P_{\mathcal{N}_J}R_n^\infty|\mathcal{N}_J]\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)=\mathcal{W}(W_1,\dots,W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2).$$

Since $\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_2)\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_j\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$, relation(5.4) implies

$$G(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*\mathcal{E}_2\subseteq\mathcal{E}_1\qquad\text{and}\qquad G(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2=X^*.$$

Hence, and using again (5.4), we have

$$\|X\|\le\|G(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|\le\|\Phi(R_1,\dots,R_n)\|=\|X\|.$$

Therefore, $\|G(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|=\|X\|$.

Now, let us prove the last part of the theorem. The implication " $\Longrightarrow$ " is clear from the first part of the theorem. For the converse, let $X=P_{\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{K}_2}\Psi(W_1,\dots,W_n)|\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{K}_1$, where $\Psi(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in\mathcal{W}(W_1,\dots,W_n)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)$. Since $B_iW_j=W_jB_i$ for $i,j=1,\dots,n$, we have

$$(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})\Psi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*=\Psi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2}),\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Now, taking into account that $\mathcal{G}$ is an invariant subspace under each of the operators B_i^* and $W_i^*,\ i=1,\dots,n$, we deduce (5.2). The proof is complete. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let $J\neq F_n^\infty$ be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ and let $B_1,\dots,B_n$ and $W_1,\dots,W_n$ be the corresponding constrained shifts acting on $\mathcal{N}_J$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace under each operator B_i^* and $W_i^*,\ i=1,\dots,n$, then*

$$\{[P_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{W}(B_1,\dots,B_n)|\mathcal{G}]\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}\}'=[P_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{W}(W_1,\dots,W_n)|\mathcal{G}]\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}).$$

We remark that Theorem 5.1 can be extended to the following more general setting. The proof follows exactly the same lines so we shall omit it.

For each $j=1,2$, let J_j be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and let $[B_1^{(j)},\dots,B_n^{(j)}]$ be the corresponding constrained shift acting on $\mathcal{N}_{J_j}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_j\subseteq\mathcal{N}_{J_j}\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$ be an invariant subspace under each operator ${B_i^{(j)}}^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j},\ i=1,\dots,n$, where $\mathcal{K}_j$ is a Hilbert space. If $X:\mathcal{E}_1\to\mathcal{E}_2$ is a bounded operator such that

$$XP_{\mathcal{E}_1}(B_i^{(1)}\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1}=P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(B_i^{(2)}\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{E}_2}X,\quad i=1,\dots,n,$$

then there exists $G \in [P_{\mathcal{N}_{J_2}} R_n^\infty | \mathcal{N}_{J_1}] \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ such that

$$P_{\mathcal{E}_2} G | \mathcal{E}_1 = X \quad \text{and} \quad \|G\| = \|X\|.$$

Now we can obtain the following Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation result in our setting. We only sketch the proof which is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 from [1] but uses Theorem 5.1, and point out what is new.

Theorem 5.3. *Let J be a WOT-closed two-sided ideal of F_n^∞ and let $B_1, \dots, B_n$ be the corresponding constrained shifts acting on $\mathcal{N}_J$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ be k distinct points in the zero set*

$$\mathcal{Z}_J := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n : \ f(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for any } f \in J\},$$

and let $A_1, \dots, A_k \in B(\mathcal{K})$. Then there exists $\Phi(B_1, \dots, B_n) \in \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_m) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\|\Phi(B_1, \dots, B_n)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\lambda_j) = A_j, \ j = 1, \dots, k,$$

if and only if the operator matrix

$$\left[\frac{I_{\mathcal{K}} - A_i A_j^*}{1 - \langle \lambda_i, \lambda_j \rangle} \right]_{k \times k} \tag{5.5}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Proof. Let $\lambda_j := (\lambda_{j1}, \dots, \lambda_{jn}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and denote $\lambda_{j\alpha} := \lambda_{ji_1} \lambda_{ji_2} \dots \lambda_{ji_m}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $\lambda_{jg_0} := 1$. Define

$$z_{\lambda_j} := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \overline{\lambda}_{j\alpha} e_\alpha, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Notice that, for any $f \in J$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_J$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have

$$\langle [S_\alpha f(S_1, \dots, S_n) S_\beta](1), z_\lambda \rangle = \lambda_\alpha f(\lambda) \lambda_\beta = 0,$$

which implies $z_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_J$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_J$. Note also that, since $B_i^* = S_i^* | \mathcal{N}_J$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$B_i^* z_{\lambda_j} = \overline{\lambda}_{ji} z_{\lambda_j} \quad \text{for} \ \ i = 1, \dots, n, \ \text{and} \ j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Define the subspace

$$\mathcal{M} := \operatorname{span}\{z_{\lambda_j} : \ j = 1, \dots, k\}$$

and the operators $X_i \in B(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by setting $X_i = P_{\mathcal{M}} B_i|_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $z_{\lambda_1}, \dots, z_{\lambda_k}$ are linearly independent, we can define an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by setting

$$T^*(z_{\lambda_j} \otimes h) = z_{\lambda_j} \otimes A_j^* h$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{K}$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$. Notice that $TX_i = X_i T$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a co-invariant subspace under each operator B_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we can apply Theorem 5.1 and find $\Phi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in \mathcal{W}(W_1, \dots, W_n) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\Phi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}, \quad \Phi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* | \mathcal{M} = T^*, \tag{5.6}$$

and $\|\Phi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| = \|T\|$. As in [1], one can prove that $\Phi(\lambda_j) = A_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, if and only if (5.6) holds. Moreover, $\|\Phi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| \leq 1$ if and only if $TT^* \leq I_{\mathcal{M}}$, which is equivalent to the fact that the operator matrix (5.5) is positive semidefinite. This completes the proof. □

We should remark that in the commutative case when $J = J_c$ (see Example 2.7 part (ii)), we recover the result obtained in [1], [29], and [12].

References

[1] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.

[2] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras, *Acta.Math.* **123** (1969), 141–224.

[3] W.B. Arveson, *An invitation to C^*-algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., **39**. Springer-Verlag, New-York-Heidelberg, 1976.

[4] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, *Acta Math.* **181** (1998), 159-228.

[5] W.B. Arveson, The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **522** (2000), 173–236.

[6] C. Badea and G. Cassier, Constrained von Neumann inequalities, *Adv. Math.* **166** (2002), 260-297.

[7] B.V.R. Bhat and T. Bhattacharyya, A model theory for q-commuting contractive tuples, *J. Operator Theory* **47** (2002), 1551–1568.

[8] B.V.R. Bhat, T. Bhattacharyya, and S. Day, Standard noncommuting and commuting dilations of commuting tuples, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2003), 1551–1568.

[9] J.W. Bunce, Models for n-tuples of noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57** (1984), 21–30.

[10] K.R. Davidson, D.W. Kribs, and M.E. Shpigel, Isometric dilations of non-commuting finite rank n-tuples, *Canad. J. Math.* **53** (2001), 506–545.

[11] K. R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Automorphisms and representations of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.

[12] K. R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Integr. Equat. Oper.Th.* **31** (1998), 321–337.

[13] S. Drury, A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to the complex ball, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1978), 300-304.

[14] A. E. Frazho, Models for noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **48** (1982), no.1, 1–11.

[15] D. Kribs, The curvature invariant of a non-commuting N-tuple, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **41** (2001), no. 4, 426–454.

[16] S. McCullough and T.T. Trent, Invariant subspaces and Nevanlinna-Pick kernels, *J. Funct. Anal.* **178** (2000), 226–249.

[17] P. Muhly and B. Solel, Tensor algebras over C^*-correspondences: representations, dilations, and C^*-envelopes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **158** (1998), 389–457.

[18] R. Nevanlinna, Über beschränkte Functionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschribene Werte annehmen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser A* **13** (1919), 7–23.

[19] G. Pisier, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., Vol.1618, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[20] G. Popescu, Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **53** (1989), 355–368.

[21] G. Popescu, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.

[22] G. Popescu, Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Operator Theory* **22** (1989), 51–71.

[23] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.

[24] G. Popescu, On intertwining dilations for sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **167** (1992), 382–402.

[25] G. Popescu, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.

[26] G. Popescu, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.

[27] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.

[28] G. Popescu, Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148.

[29] G. Popescu, Interpolation problems in several variables, *J. Math Anal. Appl.* **227** (1998), 227–250.

[30] G. Popescu, Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.

[31] G. Popescu, Commutant lifting, tensor algebras, and functional calculus, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **44** (2001), 389–406.

[32] G. Popescu, Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.

[33] G. Popescu, Central intertwining lifting, suboptimization, and interpolation in several variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **189** (2002), 132–154.

[34] G. Popescu, Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **561** (2003), 87–129.

[35] G. Popescu, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, preprint 2004.

[36] D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in H^∞, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1967), 179–203.

[37] W.F. Stinespring, Positive functions on C^*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 211-216.

[38] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.

[39] J. von Neumann, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: `gelu.popescu@utsa.edu`